



**Finance & Operations Committee Meeting
Transcript**

June 7, 2018

1 THE CHILDREN'S TRUST FINANCE AND OPERATIONS

2 COMMITTEE MEETING

3
4 The Children's Trust Finance and Operations Committee
5 Meeting was held on Thursday, June 7, 2018, commencing
6 at 9:33 a.m., at 3150 S.W. 3rd Avenue, 8th Floor,
7 Conference Room A, Miami, Florida 33129. The meeting
8 was called to order by Kenneth C. Hoffman, Chair.

9 Committee Members

10 Kenneth C. Hoffman, Miami Coalition of
Christians and Jews
11 Steve Hope, At-Large Board Member
12 Laurie W. Nuell, At-Large Member
Representative Nicholas Duran,
Miami-Dade Legislative Delegation
13 Esther Jacobo, State Attorney Representative Miami-Dade
14 Leigh Kobrinski, Assistant County Attorney

15 STAFF:

16 James Haj, President/Chief Executive Officer

17 Imran Ali

18 Deborah Robinson

19 Emily Cardenas

20 Juana Leon

21 Juliette Fabien

22 Lisanne Gage

23 Lori Katherine Hanson

24 Muriel Jeanty, Clerk of the Board

25 Sheryl S. Borg

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STAFF (Continued):

- Stephanie Sylvestre
- Vivianne Bohorques
- Wendy Duncombe
- William Kirtland

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 (Recording of the meeting began at 9:33
3 a.m.)

4 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. Let's bring the meeting
5 to order. It's 9:33 a.m. No particular opening
6 remarks. Do we have any public comments?

7 (NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)

8 MR. HOFFMAN: No. What happened to Muriel?

9 MR. HAJ: She's not feeling well.

10 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. So, our first order of
11 business, then, is approval of the May 17th minutes,
12 which is tab --

13 MS. LEON: It's right after the agenda.

14 MR. HOFFMAN: -- yes, past the agenda. Do I
15 have a motion to that effect?

16 MS. JACOBO: I'll move it, Jacobo.

17 MR. HOPE: Second, Steve Hope.

18 MR. HOFFMAN: Does anybody have any comments
19 on the minutes?

20 (NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)

21 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. All those in favor?

22 (WHEREUPON, the Board members all responded
23 with "aye".)

24 MR. HOFFMAN: Opposed?

25 (NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)

1 MR. HOFFMAN: Motion carries. So, we'll
2 move right in, then, to -- Bill, you want to make a
3 presentation on the auditor selection process?

4 MR. KIRTLAND: Sure. I think Jim might have
5 some comments as well.

6 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay, Jim.

7 MR. HAJ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We're
8 pleased to present to you today the results of the
9 intensive review process for applying audit firms
10 responding to the Trust's RFQ for financial audit
11 services for the next five years.

12 The review committee was comprised of five
13 reviewers, three external and two internal. Our
14 internal was Bill and Wendy, and our external was Monti
15 Larsen from the Children's Services Council in Broward,
16 that's our sister organization, she's the chief
17 operating officer, Carlos Molina, who's the CFO at the
18 United Way, and Dr. Jacques Bentolila, who was just
19 recently promoted in Miami-Dade County to the assistant
20 director of internal services department.

21 The RFQ was released on April 16th and
22 applicants had a month to respond. The review committee
23 held a debriefing meeting on May 25th to discuss the
24 results of each of the respective reviewers to come to
25 an agreement and recommendation that Bill will be

1 presenting to you today.

2 MR. KIRTLAND: Thank you. Good morning,
3 everybody. As you can see, we have a lot of different
4 presentations on our agenda today, so we ran out of tabs
5 on our I-Pads, so now you have just good old-fashioned
6 paper floating around that includes the results of our
7 review process of our applying audit organizations.

8 So, I'm just going to take a few moments
9 maybe to describe what you're looking at and also
10 clarify for you items that need to be considered.

11 So, of our five submitted applications,
12 there was Keefe McCullough, Marcum, Caballero, Fierman
13 Llerena & Garcia, Mauldin & Jenkins and
14 WithumSmith+Brown.

15 So, of these five organizations, initially,
16 we considered four of the five organizations to meet
17 all of our technical review requirements, with
18 WithumSmith+Brown not meeting some of the qualifications
19 that we had laid out in the RFQ.

20 But also, after further discussion with our
21 attorneys and review of the by-laws, we did continue to
22 consider Caballero, Fierman Llerena & Garcia, just as
23 the By-Laws Committee was meeting to discuss several of
24 the items included within it.

25 And some of the language that's in our

1 by-laws currently is that we cannot consider an auditor
2 for a return audit after using them in the previous five
3 years. We included them in the scoring just in case the
4 interpretation was to yield another understanding of
5 what that meant.

6 So Caballero, Fierman Llerena & Garcia
7 applied using another lead engagement partner in their
8 application rather than Andrew Fierman, who was our
9 previous lead engagement partner. So they were
10 considered but under further review of the by-laws, they
11 actually should not be considered a part of this process
12 based on the technical review requirements of the RFQ.

13 And in accordance with our policies today,
14 we would be recommending and presenting to you the top
15 three firms for recommendation. So, as you can see,
16 Caballero, Fierman Llerena & Garcia are not considered.
17 That leaves us, by default, with three firms that
18 qualified, with Marcum leading with the scoring of 94
19 and Keefe McCullough with 88.8 and Mauldin & Jenkins
20 with an 88.2.

21 We reviewed the applications. They were all
22 very competitive in all relevant fields, such as their
23 qualifications, experience, and the audit approach
24 price. The prices of these proposals range from
25 \$20,000.00 on the low end to about \$29,000.00 on the

1 high end of the first-year audit, and then they scaled
2 the prices of those audit fees as they progressed in the
3 five-year term.

4 We currently have an audit fee of
5 \$25,500.00, so these proposals were, again, very
6 competitive with the current rate that we are paying our
7 auditors.

8 The review committee found the value and
9 looking at the audit approach, how accessible would the
10 staff be if we had continuing, ongoing needs and
11 services of the audit, being able to work closely with
12 that staff. We often, in addition to the audit
13 services, utilize our audit firms for consultation,
14 upcoming government accounting pronouncements that maybe
15 we need to know about and be prepared for.

16 So, essentially, today, with the review
17 committee providing the results, we are, I believe --
18 and Leigh, you can correct me if I'm wrong -- we're
19 seeking a motion by the committee to approve their
20 recommendation that now, following the Finance
21 Committee, which, in part, is acting as the audit
22 committee, that we would notify the applicants of the
23 results.

24 That would open up the process or the
25 availability of those applicants to appeal the scores of

1 their review results and the recommendation made here.
2 But given -- continue to find that there's not any
3 appeals made in the meantime from today's Finance
4 Committee to July's Finance Committee, then we would
5 just bring a resolution at the July Finance Committee to
6 begin negotiating the next contract with the
7 recommendation made here today.

8 MR. HOFFMAN: Can you just clarify, or
9 Leigh, what would the appeal be? Because I thought they
10 could appeal -- in the normal course, could appeal
11 procedural issues, not necessarily a qualitative score
12 on a particular item. In other words, 19.2 versus 18.9,
13 I thought they can't appeal.

14 MR. KIRTLAND: Right, exactly. It most
15 likely would have to be on the basis of the technical
16 review. Perhaps, just as an example, maybe one of the
17 firms that we eliminated from consideration before maybe
18 substantive review, perhaps they think that maybe our
19 interpretation of one of the requirements was not
20 considered correctly.

21 But given the strict parameters that we put
22 around the technical requirements before we considered
23 reviewing the firm, I think an appeal is very unlikely,
24 because just like our Program Services solicitations,
25 there is a very -- there's a lot of work done on the

1 front end to make sure that we were getting exactly what
2 we wanted out of the application process. So, I think,
3 again, like you've stated, an appeal would be very
4 unlikely between committee meetings.

5 MR. HOFFMAN: And how many firms are not on
6 this list?

7 MR. KIRTLAND: We had five organizations
8 apply, so everybody that applied is on the list.

9 MR. HOFFMAN: And Withum was knocked off the
10 list altogether because --

11 MR. KIRTLAND: Right. We looked -- I
12 believe it was government-related experience. They did
13 not have both individual and as a firm, the amount of
14 government consecutive experience that we were looking
15 for.

16 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay.

17 MR. HOPE: Question. So, in some of the
18 categories that were reviewed, let's say, for example,
19 understanding of work, where you have two of the firms
20 scored 18.2 and another 19. What would be some of the
21 variables that may account for that difference?

22 Let's assume someone was to contest the
23 difference in scoring. What, as an example, that would
24 account for an 18.2 versus a 19 that differentiates one
25 provider from the other?

1 MR. KIRTLAND: Well, I think, essentially,
2 in understanding of working -- depending upon the
3 application and how well that they were speaking to
4 their experience as a firm and how they would be able to
5 work with us as a government organization.

6 A lot of it seemingly ties together with the
7 audit approach as well but the details that they
8 included within their scope of work and understanding
9 maybe everything they would need to do to complete our
10 audit successfully, essentially outlining maybe the
11 staff that they had assigned to that project, the
12 qualifications that we do that separately discloses the
13 profile and qualifications of the individual.

14 But understanding of work, you know, they
15 essentially speak to their government experience, what
16 they would -- how they would be able to attest to us
17 maybe in a remote or an office environment and how well
18 they spoke to how much detail they provided.

19 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. See, that was my
20 question. I don't think that somebody can challenge the
21 19 versus 18.9. But if we had knocked them out like, I
22 guess, the Withum firm, because we didn't think -- they
23 could say, but I showed it here in the presentation, you
24 should have considered me.

25 So, Bill, then, is it the recommendation of

1 the committee that reviewed it to hire Marcum based on
2 the scoring?

3 MR. KIRTLAND: Based on our scoring, they
4 met all the qualifications or had the most consistent
5 results of the reviewers. You can see in the rankings,
6 they might not have always been the highest ranked
7 reviewer but they were at least the one or two -- first
8 or second ranked firm that were very highly scored
9 amongst all the reviewers, that kept their average, you
10 know, staying around 94 percent. So, they are our lead
11 application.

12 MR. HAJ: And during the debriefing meeting,
13 too, there was consensus when they were discussing where
14 Marcum is, they were number one.

15 MR. KIRTLAND: Marcum has had broad relative
16 experience with firms, that the Broward CSC has utilized
17 them in the past. We've utilized them in the past as
18 well. And United Way, who was also one of our
19 reviewers, Carlos Molina, had used Marcum.

20 So, everybody had used some historical --
21 had some historical basis for the type of service that
22 they provide and were all very pleased with the results
23 of their audits in the past.

24 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. And staff is
25 comfortable with the team that they put up as well; in

1 other words, the people that to --

2 MR. KIRTLAND: The team that will represent
3 Marcum?

4 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes.

5 MR. KIRTLAND: Yes, absolutely.

6 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. I guess -- so, we need
7 a motion to -- Leigh?

8 MS. KOBRINSKI: Motion to approve the
9 recommendation of the audit selection committee to
10 select Marcum.

11 MR. HOPE: Motion, Steve Hope.

12 MR. DURAN: Second, Duran.

13 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. Any further discussion?

14 (NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)

15 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. All those in favor?

16 (WHEREUPON, the Board members all responded
17 with "aye".)

18 MR. HOFFMAN: Opposed?

19 (NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)

20 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. Motion carries. So,
21 Bill? No, Jim, sorry.

22 MR. HAJ: Mr. Chair, thank you. On the
23 second tab, you have a budget highlight that I'd like to
24 go over. So, I do thank this committee, for the last
25 three or four committee meetings, looking at the fund

1 balance, giving us some guidance.

2 We had the millage conversation. So, we
3 have a proposed budget highlight in front of you. And
4 we're exceptionally excited about this budget because I
5 think, as of the last Board meeting when we got the 28
6 million additional dollars onto the streets for children
7 and families, is a great thing for the Trust.

8 So, the Children's Trust continues to
9 emphasize the importance of program spending that
10 provides critical services to children and families. We
11 recently got the Miami-Dade Property Appraiser report on
12 preliminary assessments tax roll at 29.1 billion.

13 Again, this is just preliminary. As of July
14 1, we will get the final numbers. If the Board adopts a
15 .4410 millage rate, that's the approximate rollback rate
16 that may change depending on July 1 but it should be
17 right about there, the ad valorem tax revenue for the
18 fiscal year '18-'19 would be approximately the same as
19 the previous fiscal year at approximately 121.8 million.

20 The Children's Trust plans to add
21 approximately 28 million in additional funds earmarked
22 for program services through fiscal year '19-'23. The
23 majority of the funds, the vast majority was approved at
24 the last Board meeting.

25 And despite an approximate increase to

1 program services funding, we put a lot more money out
2 there. There's a lot more work but we were able, also,
3 to continue driving down our costs.

4 The Children's Trust is budgeting a net
5 decrease in management expense of approximately twenty
6 seven thousand, which includes a four percent merit
7 increase for next year for staff.

8 The Trust has now budgeted a decrease in
9 management expenses for the last three years of
10 \$470,317.00 and \$27,300.00 this year, for an approximate
11 \$814,000.00 in savings the last three years for general
12 administration.

13 The '18-'19 budget for management expenses
14 is 6.33. And this is what we're very proud of. It's an
15 all-time low. It's the lowest that we've had for 15
16 years and much lower than in the past.

17 So, we're happy to do much more for kids and
18 families while being much more efficient on our end.
19 One of the technology resos you'll see later today, that
20 we've been using technology to effectively drive down
21 some of the management costs.

22 If you go to the next three pages, this is
23 the attachment which you see every year. Attachment A,
24 the first page, is what -- once this is approved, this
25 page, as it is, will get published in The Herald in

1 notice of our TRIM hearings.

2 And this just breaks down a lot of
3 discussions we've had in the last three months. And I
4 can go into detail if anybody wants to go through each
5 of these one by one, but I just want to take you through
6 the three sheets.

7 The second sheet is again, the same
8 discussion we've had the last couple of months. This is
9 the fund balance sheet. This talks about fund balance.

10 And then the third sheet is the core
11 strategy. If you really want to drill down, this is
12 broken down by the different programs where the money is
13 and the percent increase.

14 If you want to reflect on what we've talked
15 about the last couple of months, there's a tab, a
16 five-year tab that shows the chart of the fund balance.
17 Mr. Chair, if you want to refer to that to have any
18 discussion, it shows the last two months.

19 So, again, these three, what we call the
20 Attachment A and the supporting documentation, these are
21 the three that will come to the Board. And just to go
22 through the timeline, once we discuss this today, this
23 will move to the full Board for discussion, and then it
24 comes back to the Finance Committee in July to approve.
25 Then it goes to the full Board to approve to take to

1 TRIM for the September meetings. And next month, we
2 will have the TRIM release for the Board members.

3 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. And as, I think, Jim
4 said earlier, that presentation kind of ties into the
5 fund strategy that we've been talking about, including
6 at the last meeting. Bill, do you want to take us
7 through the tab on that?

8 MR. KIRTLAND: Exactly. So, if you were at
9 the last Finance Committee meeting and you see the
10 five-year strategy tab, you'll notice a lot of the same
11 exact talking points as what we discussed last time
12 around.

13 We've done a little bit of updating to this
14 presentation because in the meantime, we were notified
15 by the Miami-Dade County Property Appraiser's Office of
16 what the 2019 tax roll value would be based off --
17 originally, we projected maybe about five billion
18 dollars less in the overall property value in Miami-Dade
19 County, so their estimate was 291.1 billion dollars.

20 So, this raised our basis and our threshold
21 a little bit of what we would be applying our millage
22 rate towards to draw the revenue that we would need for
23 next year's budget.

24 But the strategy overall stays the same. We
25 were discussing at our last meeting that essentially in

1 year one of our five-year strategy, we would again
2 institute a rollback rate because of the need to use the
3 fund balance that we currently have built up,
4 essentially utilizing all of the projects that we have
5 within arm's reach within the next year.

6 So we will continuously work for more
7 opportunities to fund additional projects and what needs
8 there will be to raise that rate during the five-year
9 period. But essentially, our goal is to end -- at the
10 end of the five-year cycle, to bring our millage rate
11 and the revenues back to the amount that we need to
12 continuously fund our programs, even into the next five
13 years.

14 So, essentially, generating these budget
15 schedules was relatively simpler this year based upon
16 discussions that we already had at the last committee
17 meeting. There was some direction already as to the
18 amounts of programs that we wanted to fund.

19 At our last Board meeting, we already
20 approved a majority of the funds that we would need to
21 include in next year's budget. So, really, from this
22 point on out, it's about managing our revenues,
23 monitoring our fund balance.

24 We have a pretty good understanding of, you
25 know, how much -- how much and how many programs that we

1 will be funding in the next five years while we continue
2 to look for more opportunities.

3 So, the schedules reflect our strategy,
4 which was the scenario 1 strategy, but we've
5 discussed -- we got the impression from the committee
6 that was maybe the better strategy of the two that we
7 presented to proceed with in the next five years.

8 MR. HOFFMAN: Thank you. Any questions on
9 that?

10 (NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)

11 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. We don't need to take
12 any particular action on this preliminary budget, do we?

13 MR. KIRTLAND: I might refer to the
14 attorneys. I think, does there need to be a motion to
15 approve?

16 MR. HAJ: We're going to present it to the
17 Board as an FYI. So, if everybody's kind of approved
18 this to take to the Board to present --

19 MR. HOFFMAN: So, we're going to have, in a
20 minute, a couple of resolutions on that IT matter. So,
21 I think, before that, Stephanie will give us a little
22 update on the RFP and what we'll be approving.

23 MS. SYLVESTRE: Our new IT director, Sheryl
24 Borg, is going to do the presentation.

25 MR. HAJ: Mr. Chair, if I can tee up Sheryl

1 before she starts. Before the two IT presentations,
2 again, Sheryl has just been going to kind of the IT span
3 and where we're going with IT. I know it's been a big
4 week discussing this the last couple of years.

5 We kind of want to show, even throughout the
6 resos, some of the resos have a very detailed amount and
7 amounts that we do not need to bring to the Board that
8 are small.

9 But we wanted to put everything on here so
10 the Finance Committee sees the global of where this
11 ended. And Mr. Chair, I know you asked for the chart.
12 We added the pie chart for the resos and the different
13 amounts so everybody can see the global view, and that
14 we've come to a point where you're approving IT resos
15 and this is pretty much it. You're not going to see it
16 every month in the IT resos, so that you can see the
17 whole chart and get the global of our IT. Sheryl?

18 MS. BORG: Good morning, Mr. Chair, members
19 of the Finance & Operations Committee, Trust leadership,
20 guests. Thank you for the opportunity to share and
21 update the IT Way Forward.

22 We've made a lot of progress. I'm going to
23 discuss some of the new developments that are coming and
24 looking forward to sharing with you. I'm just going to
25 stand up for a little bit so I can see all of you

1 sitting back here and that gives me an opportunity to
2 see that.

3 Since I began as the IT director about four
4 months ago -- it's been only four months -- due to the
5 leadership of our Board and the executive staff here at
6 the Trust, the IT vision was aligned already with
7 current best practice trends.

8 And some of those things were evidenced
9 right away by service solutions. Many of those things
10 have already been realized. So, one of the challenges
11 for staff was that there was not a tremendous amount of
12 standardization, how would they access the tools that
13 they needed to do their work.

14 So, some of those were done right away with
15 six web links now. That way, they can use six different
16 ways with which they can engage with their information,
17 their office suite, their web connections to the
18 application to Trust Central and so forth. So, already,
19 the processes were in place for you to start bringing
20 about service improvement.

21 All the devices and the network, the
22 infrastructure, those things that we use every single
23 day in order to access systems, are now being managed as
24 a service.

25 This is causing a tremendous improvement of

1 both process and in cost effectiveness. We've
2 simplified, standardized and we now are supporting our
3 technical foundation. They're all in place. And now we
4 move on with just more value-add services.

5 We've also set up an environment for
6 information marriage -- information and technology are
7 partners. They work together. Once the infrastructure
8 and technology is solidly in place, you can start moving
9 onto more focus on information management.

10 One of the things that the Trust has in its
11 vision is that we're partners in this community. So,
12 sharing a common goal and working together is critical
13 for all of our success.

14 Identifying whether one of our partners is
15 having a challenge is one of those areas where we can
16 now add value. We use a recent example that was in the
17 process as I was coming on-board.

18 There was an issue with getting to some of
19 the information that our providers needed in order to
20 provide their solicitations. So there was an identified
21 need to the grants module to support the solicitation
22 process.

23 In order to do that, we were moving forward
24 with leveraging both technology and business process
25 re-engineering. That's where the rubber hits the road

1 now for information.

2 When you're looking at ways with which you
3 can automate systems, you're not looking at ways to also
4 further your business processes and actually make
5 improvements, that's where technology and information
6 meet and leverage.

7 In this process, innovative solution,
8 functionality, eliminating manual processes, storing
9 application and review data, and even providing
10 functionality to collect requests for appeals if they
11 were needed. All of these were now centrally located in
12 a single place.

13 This also added value not only to the
14 providers, because they have a place to go, we've lost
15 the need to provide a lot of manual forms, but we also,
16 as a team, as a staff, are able to do reviews on
17 information in a single place. As those opportunities
18 started to crystalize, they were able to make these
19 reviews and processes much easier.

20 We've also heard recently, from the public
21 comments from both the Board and committee meetings,
22 that providers' experiences have been greatly improved
23 in this process. We have been able to improve their
24 ability to have transparency. It's easier. It's
25 comprehensive. It's clearer.

1 I was ecstatic to hear feedback over the
2 last month or so, in our Board meetings and in the
3 meetings with providers, that they were able to say, you
4 know, that their experience has been greatly improved.

5 For Trust leaders, this cross-portfolio
6 process allows us to utilize 24 data points. These
7 funding recommendations, as you know, were approved by
8 the Board last month and the investment has resulted in
9 recommendations and now approval for 223 contracts we're
10 funding for 84.3 million dollars.

11 So, as we look back at how IT is now being
12 brought to you, the rest of these processes, we are able
13 to leverage things like continuous data decision --
14 data-driven decisions.

15 The 24 data points, we talked a little bit
16 earlier about the assessments that we're using in order
17 to make decisions. Clearly, we are weighting and using
18 information points and we're hearing more and more
19 across our own ecosystem how important that is in order
20 to support decisions.

21 So, these now allow us to have more
22 opportunities to have touchpoints with our providers.
23 We can meet with them. We are able to crystalize our
24 decision-making processes.

25 As we move into where we are now, these

1 processes are allowing us to move forward. The
2 Children's Trust ecosystem is able -- and all of us are
3 included in that ecosystem -- getting the information of
4 organizational assets.

5 And I'm talking about those systems that
6 provide information both from the Trust Central
7 perspective, information that's coming from our list,
8 information that's coming through and across our
9 community, indicators where people are able to collect
10 that information and actually start to assess how we can
11 make a greater impact for children and families in our
12 community.

13 Data information needs to be pervasive and
14 utilized in every decision-making point. We are able to
15 now take those data points and make actionable and
16 usable approaches for both stakeholders at the Board
17 level, staff, providers and community members.

18 Presented in a variety of forms, information
19 needs to be easy, secure, accessible and actionable.
20 When I tell you that there's a data point, you know, one
21 of our team members came to me one day and said, here's
22 a report that says, 732 and, you know, those are data
23 points. In the context, how do we help move those data
24 points forward to make them actionable?

25 Presenting information in prepared forms,

1 right, radical, visual, dashboards, score cards.
2 Aligned with our mission of value in our goals, we would
3 like data to continually assess, measure, improve,
4 conduct research and share the stories with our Trust
5 programs. Leading to information management and
6 actually moving to a place where information is easy to
7 get to and easily understood allows us a lot of ability
8 to be inquisitive, asking questions that we need to ask.

9 Hitting the same report over and over may be
10 helpful for those of us that need to benchmark the data
11 over time. But being able to be inquisitive and access
12 information and democratize or access to people forums
13 allows people to be able to get to those data points
14 that they can now inquire further and really advance the
15 ball.

16 There is still much work to do. Telling the
17 story of our children and how they're impacted in our
18 community is one that is an ongoing effort for all of us
19 as a community.

20 Performance measurements. How are we doing?
21 Which programs are in need of assistance? Getting the
22 information into the hands of those people that can
23 action and assist programs as they're working through
24 their cycles is critically important.

25 What are the outcomes of our efforts and how

1 can we start to associate those outcomes to other things
2 that are happening in our community, reaching across our
3 community and engaging in data-sharing opportunities
4 across school systems, across the county, across other
5 parts of our ecosystem allow us to enrich and speak to
6 those opportunities that are actually generating change.
7 The true measure of communities is how we advance the
8 ball for children.

9 We still have much work to do. I'm going to
10 share with you what our current landscape is and what
11 our approach is going to be moving forward. The current
12 information landscape here is one where we have
13 information in multiple places.

14 In this sense, you can see the user is a
15 person trying to get access to the information and they
16 have it in multiple places. It is an approach that
17 needs to change in order for us to really truly leverage
18 information management. It's a bit laborious. You see
19 the poor user there trying to get information from
20 multiple places.

21 We've already built out and are starting to
22 bring together the innovative data repository. The
23 innovative data repository is the place where we contain
24 and keep our information for the Trust. It provides a
25 backup to our data bases and it allows us to be able to

1 access the information that's critical for us.

2 As you can right now, two sets of our data
3 are there and are able to be accessed. But the move
4 forward strategy is actually going to be to keep that
5 information and we're doing that on an (indiscernible)
6 basis. Starting to bring together those data into a
7 single place allows us to actually process that data and
8 enrich it for reporting.

9 If you want to just move to the next slide.
10 Future for information landscape includes bringing those
11 data together so that way, we don't have redundancy, so
12 that way, we have a secure environment. That way, we
13 have a place where we can gather the information and do
14 those processes that require us to go to user-based
15 rules.

16 Well, how do we use this information? Raw
17 data, information in its raw form, is helpful. But
18 processed information creates knowledge. How do we know
19 if it will be effective? How do we measure the
20 utilization? How do we look at unit cost and head
21 count? How do we measure impact?

22 Those are users' rules that have now been
23 created in a set of processes. The user can now access
24 one set of data that are enriched and prepared for --
25 designed for optimized reporting.

1 So, that's kind of the future state. There
2 will be -- in the next six to eight months, there will
3 be some significant efforts. I can tell you that we've
4 already started to build out a small pilot set of data
5 and moving into the IDR environment.

6 In the month of June, by the end of the
7 month of June, we'll be having a pilot set of data that
8 we'll be able to pass and moving forward with
9 information directly from our IDR, so it's a very
10 exciting time.

11 MR. HAJ: And the IDR is?

12 MS. BORG: Integrated data repository,
13 excellent. The integrated data repository, as I said,
14 not only improves our data, but we can also start
15 bringing together those community indicators, those
16 data-sharing opportunities that exist across our
17 ecosystem. So, that makes for a solid foundation as
18 well as opportunities for data-sharing.

19 Information can be descriptive. It can be
20 predictive. It can be prescriptive. How should we do
21 it? In what way can we get the information out? The
22 information can be provided in a verse and so forth.
23 So, it can be an actionable place for us to be from the
24 standpoint of being able to look forward and find out
25 how to support our systems.

1 I wanted to share with you something else
2 that we currently have in development. I'm actually
3 comparing charts for a moment. As you know, 84.3
4 million dollars in youth development and parenting
5 awards were just --

6 (Off the record.)

7 MS. BORG: So I'm going to share with you a
8 visual, something that's currently in development that
9 we're getting ready to set up on our website. Also, I'm
10 going to bring it up on my phone. So, we will have this
11 available and mobile-friendly.

12 MS. SYLVESTRE: One second. If you're on
13 the IT finance tab and you click, "Preview Interactive
14 Map," it will pop up on your I-Pad.

15 MS. BORG: So let's just go ahead and close
16 this. Thank you.

17 MR. HAJ: And if it pops up on your I-Pad,
18 if you just touch any of the dots, the information
19 will --

20 MS. BORG: I'm going to show you right now.

21 MS. SYLVESTRE: You can zoom in -- one is
22 parenting and one is youth development.

23 MS. BORG: So, this is a recent development.
24 We're going to be sharing this out onto our website
25 shortly. So, we have a list out here to the side. As

1 you can see, we've got our sites notated and selected,
2 so we can select and de-select any of these different
3 variables here.

4 And we can focus on our areas of need. I'm
5 going to un-click. As you see, it changes as you move
6 forward. Now I've identified those areas of need right
7 here, our larger population, as previously defined.

8 So, we are now able to see within those
9 areas of need, those areas that have been funded. If
10 you hover over and select those, there are actually
11 actionable and you can see the agency, the name of the
12 agency, the site, the number of slots, the type of
13 initiative and so forth.

14 So, we can take a look at those. There
15 happen to be more than one at this site so we can scroll
16 through them.

17 MR. HOPE: Question.

18 MS. BORG: Yes, sir.

19 MR. HOPE: You talked about in the future, I
20 guess, the providers being able to work in a
21 collaborative --

22 MS. BORG: Yes.

23 MR. HOPE: -- with the technology.

24 MS. BORG: Yes.

25 MR. HOPE: Would providers be able to

1 leverage some of the technology for their own reporting
2 purposes?

3 MS. BORG: Absolutely.

4 MR. HOPE: Okay. And how is that going to
5 work?

6 MS. BORG: Excellent. So, one of the ways
7 with which we're able to add value to the process now is
8 that we're going to be doing some more outreach directly
9 with providers and sharing time and staff with our
10 providers, talking with them about this technology and
11 how to leverage their technology in order to support the
12 programs.

13 These services are going to be available to
14 them in order to access their own information. So, this
15 mapping and so forth will be available throughout our
16 ecosystem.

17 MR. HOPE: And accessible so a provider can
18 get data to map the location of their clients, for
19 example?

20 MS. BORG: Exactly. So, for example, this
21 is actually utilizing information that's in our Trust
22 Central system, okay? So, this is data that we actually
23 have used through our solicitation process and is now in
24 our awarded process. And those data can be reflected
25 using different types of interfaces with which we show

1 the data.

2 It can be done through the reporting
3 systems, through our Trust Central reporting systems, as
4 well as geographical representations. So, there will be
5 multiple ways to deliver the information based on what
6 the needs are for the providers.

7 One of the beauties of building out an
8 integrated data repository is that the data is solid and
9 foundational, and we can use many different types of
10 tools, if needed, to present the data.

11 So, you know, when I talk about the
12 ecosystem, really I'm talking about all of us within
13 this process, primarily focused, of course, on serving
14 the needs of our providers.

15 And all of the focus, as we've built these
16 things out, even when we built the solicitation process,
17 we were able to do so that served both the needs of the
18 provider and also facilitated the ability for staff to
19 make those decisions in a timely fashion and equitable
20 fashion.

21 MR. HAJ: And Steve, for your information,
22 besides the provider community, throughout the County,
23 people request things from us all the time, for our
24 mapping, for our data, and we're here to assist wherever
25 needed.

1 MR. HOPE: Okay.

2 MS. JACOBO: And is this -- is this now
3 available -- this is already available?

4 MS. BORG: So, actually, this is in the last
5 stage of development and it will be posted up on our
6 website in the next --

7 MS. JACOBO: Very, very soon?

8 MS. BORG: Absolutely. We're hoping next
9 week. You're actually getting a little bit of a preview
10 but we're very excited about bringing this. We wanted
11 to also show you that we have the ability to look a more
12 detailed or granular level, so that a user could
13 actually extract the data if they wanted to into an
14 Excel spreadsheet or something that was actionable for
15 them. And we're looking at building out some other
16 functionality here that might connect directly to
17 additional information websites and so forth for the
18 individual providers.

19 This is completely searchable, as you can
20 see, much like any other map that we're all familiar
21 with using. This is the beauty of bringing technology
22 into a more simple form, too, is that we start to look
23 at ways with which we already are comfortable accessing
24 the information; our phones, our tablets and so forth.
25 This is all mobile-friendly and accessible. So, users

1 are able to just go out there and get to the information
2 that they need.

3 There's still quite a bit of work to do.
4 I'm sure there's something that's clearly in development
5 but certainly in the direction, the trend with which
6 we're going to continue to grow.

7 This is a collaborative process, though.
8 And as we reach back to providers and get information
9 through our ecosystem, that's where some of the good
10 ideas come from.

11 And as we roll things out, we realize that
12 we have more and more questions and things we'd like to
13 answer. So, since we rolled it out, there's more and
14 more exciting things to build.

15 So, just to kind of wet your whistle, give
16 you the first preview here, I appreciate your time. I
17 appreciate your attention and I welcome your comments.
18 I welcome your questions. I welcome your feedback.

19 We did talk about this being a collaborative
20 process. We appreciate your ongoing support and your
21 leadership and partnering with us to improve the lives
22 of children in Miami, for families and children.

23 MR. HOPE: If I may, one last question. So,
24 the budget shows \$800,000.00 for information technology.

25 MR. HAJ: Yes, the last slide.

1 MR. HOPE: The pie chart has nine fifty.

2 MR. HAJ: Yes. If you see, there's a
3 hundred and fifty, and you'll see it on the reso, too, a
4 hundred and fifty comes from the research budget for the
5 IDR.

6 MR. HOPE: Okay. So, the additional one
7 fifty would come from the million dollars?

8 MR. HAJ: From research.

9 MR. HOPE: Research?

10 MR. HAJ: Research budget. The \$800,000.00
11 is IT. Right now, you're a hundred and fifty over and
12 that hundred and fifty is coming from the research
13 budget for the IDR.

14 MS. SYLVESTRE: No, not for the IDR. It's
15 for -- it's for data work that we're doing at the
16 University of Miami to collect community data and be
17 able to do reporting on it.

18 MR. HOPE: Okay. So, if I look at the
19 2018-2019 budget, which line item does that one fifty --

20 MS. SYLVESTRE: It comes out of the
21 research.

22 MR. HOPE: Program evaluations, too?

23 MS. SYLVESTRE: Yes.

24 MR. HAJ: And if you see on the pie chart,
25 too, we put the reso numbers, so the next two resos,

1 you'll see 2018-A and 2018-B.

2 MR. HOFFMAN: All right. Thank you, Sheryl.

3 MS. NUELLE: I have a quick question.

4 Whatever -- what's it called, the I -- the depository.

5 When is that going to be -- when do you expect that to,

6 I guess, you know, be on-line where they're all

7 together?

8 MS. BORG: So it's already there. So, what

9 we've done now is, we've begun the process of locating

10 the data, which I talked about a little bit earlier, and

11 we're actually starting to pilot the first data set

12 that's going through its initial set of business

13 application information.

14 We're piloting with a small set of

15 information that's based on four current reports that we

16 have in our ecosystem and that we want to move into the

17 this, so it's a perfect time to start. So, as we start

18 to do that, we'll gain some traction and so forth. But

19 I expect in the next six to eight months, we'll see a

20 tremendous growth in that ecosystem.

21 It takes a bit of time, because as we're

22 doing that, we're also doing business process

23 evaluation. We're looking at ways with which we do

24 measurements, standardizing, meeting the functionality

25 that will allow us to report across the entire

1 ecosystem.

2 We also want to build a structure that
3 allows us to bring in new data sources as we move on, so
4 we want to build something that's foundational. We
5 started with a small data set, I'll tell you that. It
6 has some financial components. So, we'll start making
7 some decisions right there and move that forward.

8 MS. NUELLE: Thank you.

9 MR. HOFFMAN: So, just to follow up on these
10 questions, one of the things that I've asked staff to
11 do, and we talked about this last year, is just show us
12 where we are in the building of the budget, which is
13 what the pie chart is, and I think that's very helpful
14 for me, at least.

15 I'd like to, as we go forward, I know we
16 have other administrative ones, is again to have a
17 similar type of picture of where we are in the process.
18 And this look like then we're filling the budget for the
19 most part with the IT spend for the next year.

20 MR. HAJ: And it has been, Ken. I know
21 we've been talking about getting it, you know, instead
22 of piecemeal, getting a reso for every month for 12
23 months, that we bring it all together.

24 MR. HOFFMAN: Or closer together. Okay.
25 So, we have two resolutions that fit within this bucket.

1 The first is Resolution 2018-A, authorization to
2 retroactively ratify the agreement with SHI
3 International Corporation and The Children's Trust, and
4 to renew licenses with multiple IT vendors for software
5 and hardware maintenance and support, in a total amount
6 not to exceed \$108,347.00, for a term of 12 months
7 commencing October 1, 2018 and ending September 30,
8 2019.

9 Can I have a motion to that effect?

10 MS. JACOBO: I'll move it, Jacobo.

11 MR. HOPE: Second, Steve Hope.

12 MR. HOFFMAN: Any recusals?

13 (NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)

14 MR. HOFFMAN: Can we just have an
15 explanation of the retroactively ratified portion of
16 that?

17 MS. SYLVESTRE: So, in 2016, we entered into
18 a three-year agreement with Microsoft. When you're
19 doing licensing -- software licensing, if you do
20 multi-year software licenses, you get a much better rate
21 than if you do yearly licenses.

22 And I think the way we submitted the
23 resolution at that time made it seem like it was a
24 yearly license, so we're just kind of clarifying and
25 cleaning up. This is part of our cleaning up of our IT

1 house. I think this might be the last set of cleanup
2 because I think the rest of the contracts that we have,
3 we worked really closely with our current lawyers to
4 enter into, so they're aligned with our current policy.

5 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. So, these are licenses
6 within a year under the same contract?

7 MS. SYLVESTRE: Yes, Microsoft, so, without
8 our Microsoft licenses, we basically can't work. It's
9 one of our pillars. Microsoft and Trust Central are the
10 two core sets of software that we need to be able to
11 work.

12 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. Any other questions or
13 comments on this one?

14 (NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)

15 MR. HOFFMAN: All those in favor?

16 (WHEREUPON, the Board members all responded
17 with "aye".)

18 MR. HOFFMAN: Opposed?

19 (NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)

20 MR. HOFFMAN: Motion carries. The second
21 resolution, Resolution 2018-B, authorization to renew
22 software services with multiple IT vendors in a total
23 amount not to exceed \$789,094.00, for a term of 12
24 months, commencing October 1, 2018 and ending September
25 30, 2019.

1 Can I have a motion to that effect?

2 MS. JACOBO: Move it, Jacobo.

3 MR. DURAN: Second, Duran.

4 MR. HOFFMAN: Any recusals?

5 (NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)

6 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. Comments or questions?

7 MR. HAJ: If I may, Steve, if you see on the
8 chart, the second to the last, the hundred and fifty
9 identified there with an asterisk for the IDS
10 identifying spending from the research budget.

11 MR. HOPE: Which one? University of Miami?

12 MR. HAJ: The IDS is second to the last on
13 the chart. It's the integrated data system from
14 University of Miami, so we did indicate that item.

15 MR. HOPE: Yes, thanks.

16 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. Any other questions or
17 comments?

18 (NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)

19 MR. HOFFMAN: All those in favor?

20 (WHEREUPON, the Board members all responded
21 with "aye".)

22 MR. HOFFMAN: Opposed?

23 (NO VERBAL RESPONSE.)

24 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. Motion carries, and
25 give it over to Jim for the CEO report.

1 MR. HAJ: Mr. Chair, thank you. Champions
2 for Children -- Madam Chair, I'm sorry?

3 MS. NUELLE: I can do this before or after.
4 I wanted to go back to something on the budget, so I can
5 ask now or after you finish. Okay. I just was
6 wondering, to go back on that third page, the core
7 strategies, especially with what we're talking about
8 here, why the reduction in program evaluation and
9 community research when I know that we're ramping that
10 up?

11 MR. HAJ: Well, there's many reasons. But
12 the major reason is really addressing the fund balance,
13 is actually doing the actual budget, what we propose to
14 spend. Because historically, we budget an assessed
15 amount and do not spend it, it drops to the fund
16 balance.

17 So, it's really trying to be lean and trying
18 to budget our projections, as well as some of the other
19 stuff that we do, like the early childhood research that
20 we embedded into the solicitations so it's on this line.

21 But it really was working with our finance
22 chair and our departments to really say, what are we
23 doing. If there's an issue that we need additional
24 money, we would come back and request it from the Board
25 and make the amendment at that time.

1 MS. NUELLE: But it isn't a reduction --

2 MR. HAJ: It's not.

3 MS. NUELLE: -- in research and evaluation --

4 MR. HAJ: No. It's reflecting actual --

5 MS. NUELLE: Okay, because it kind of looked
6 like that. Okay, thank you. That's all.

7 MR. HOFFMAN: Back to you, Jim.

8 MR. HAJ: Champions for Children, Emily is
9 starting the daunting task of doing the seating
10 assignments. So, we are close if not sold out. We are
11 close to 1,000 people and that's capacity, so we're
12 going to have a nice event. I thank all the Board
13 members. We have 20 Board members who RSVP'd. If you
14 have them here, please let us know.

15 Family Expo is on September 8th. Summer
16 youth internship is going exceptionally well. We're
17 going to send something out to the Board about #Read30.
18 This is something that was approved a couple of Board
19 meetings ago that we're going to do a big social media
20 campaign to combat the summer slide and encourage
21 parents to read with their kids 30 minutes a day. So,
22 we'd like also the Board members to read with their
23 kids, put pictures, post it to social media and help
24 move that out.

25 MS. JACOBO: Grandkids, too?

1 MR. HAJ: Yeah, grandkids, and send it to
2 your network of people --

3 MS. NUELLE: I would feel weird reading to my
4 children.

5 MR. HAJ: Literacy never stops. Provider
6 orientation training, this was on Tuesday. So, the
7 Board approved all the providers last month. Tuesday,
8 we had the orientation at UM for everybody, all the new
9 providers, all the existing providers, with close to 300
10 providers in attendance.

11 Phenomenal training. Not only was all the
12 executives presented, all the requirements, they had
13 breakout sessions, they met their contract manager. But
14 besides the information that was given, just the vibe of
15 the room and the collaboration and the energy, it was
16 very positive, I mean, exceptional.

17 I mean, I wish many of the Board members
18 could have been there to see it. But the feedback we
19 got was sensational. So, you know, I'm very pleased.
20 With the last Board meeting and going with the
21 providers, I think we're really set for the next five
22 years to start working together to help children and
23 families.

24 Monthly financials are on your tab. And if
25 you have any questions, I'll be happy to or Bill will be

1 happy to go over it. Mr. Chair, thank you.

2 MR. HOFFMAN: Any other business or
3 questions?

4 MS. NUELLE: No.

5 MR. HOFFMAN: Motion to adjourn accepted and
6 approved.

7 (Whereupon, at 10:24 a.m., the meeting was
8 adjourned.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF FLORIDA:
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:

I, Fernando Subirats, Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did report the proceedings in the above-styled cause; that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to 45, inclusive, constitute a true and complete record of my notes.

I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor financially interested in the action.

Dated this 19th day of June, 2018.



Fernando Subirats
Court Reporter

	4:21	23:6,15	88.8	7:9 24:19
\$	17th	25th	6:19	31:17 33:25
\$108,347.00	3:11	4:23	9	accessing
38:6	18-'19	28		33:23
\$20,000.00	13:18 14:13	13:5,21	94	accordance
6:25	18.2	29.1	6:18 11:10	6:13
\$25,500.00	9:20,24	13:12	9:33	account
7:5	18.9	291.1	3:2,5	9:21,24
\$27,300.00	8:12 10:21	16:19	A	accounting
14:10	19			7:14
\$29,000.00	9:20,24 10:21	3	a.m.	across
6:25	19-'23	30	3:3,5	23:19 24:8
\$470,317.00	13:22	38:7 39:25	ability	26:2,4 28:16
14:10	19.2		22:24 25:7	36:25
\$789,094.00	8:12	4	32:18 33:11	acting
39:23	2		able	7:21
\$800,000.00		4410	7:11 10:4,16	action
34:24 35:10	2016	13:15	14:2 22:16,18,	18:12 25:23
\$814,000.00	38:17	6	23 23:3,12,23	actionable
14:11	2018		24:2,9,14	24:15,19,24
1	38:7 39:24	6.33	25:11,13 26:25	28:23 30:11
	2018-2019	14:14	27:3 28:8,24	33:14
	35:19	7	30:8,20,25	actually
1	2018-A		31:7 32:17	6:11 22:4
13:14,16 18:4	36:1 38:1	732	34:1 35:17	24:10 25:6
38:7 39:24	2018-B	24:22	39:10	26:6 27:4,7
12	36:1 39:21	8	absolutely	29:2 30:10
37:22 38:6	2019		12:5 31:3 33:8	31:21,22 33:4,
39:23	16:16 38:8	84.3	access	9,13 36:11
121.8	39:25	23:10 29:3	20:12,23	ad
13:19	223	88.2	25:11,12 26:15	13:17
15	23:9	6:20	27:1,23 31:14	add
14:15	24		accessed	13:20 21:16
16th			27:3	31:7
			accessible	added

19:12 22:13	6:21,22 11:9, 22 12:15,16 17:4 19:25 20:21 21:3,13 22:11 24:2 25:18 32:12, 15,23 33:20,25 36:2,6 37:23 39:15,16	amongst 11:9 amount 9:13 17:11 19:6 20:11 38:5 39:23 amounts 17:18 19:7,13 Andrew 6:8 another 6:4,7 9:20 anybody 3:18 15:4 appeal 7:25 8:9,10,13, 23 9:3 appeals 8:3 22:10 applicants 4:22 7:22,25 application 6:8 9:2 10:3 11:11 20:18 22:9 36:13 applications 5:11 6:21 applied 6:7 9:8 apply 9:8 applying 4:9 5:7 16:21 Appraiser	13:11 Appraiser's 16:15 appreciate 34:16,17,20 approach 6:23 7:9 10:7 26:11,16 approaches 24:16 approval 3:11 23:9 approve 7:19 12:8 15:24,25 18:15 approved 13:23 14:24 17:20 18:17 23:7 approving 18:22 19:14 approximate 13:15,25 14:10 approximately 13:18,19,21 14:5 April 4:21 areas 21:15 30:4,6,9 arm's 17:5 around 5:6 8:22 11:10	16:12 assess 24:10 25:3 assessments 13:12 23:16 assets 24:4 assigned 10:11 assist 25:23 32:24 assistance 25:21 assistant 4:19 associate 26:1 assume 9:22 attachment 14:23 15:20 attention 34:17 attest 10:16 attorneys 5:21 18:14 audit 4:9,10 5:7 6:2, 23 7:1,2,4,9, 11,12,13,21 10:7,10 12:9 auditor 4:3 6:1
addition 7:12 additional 13:6,21 17:7 33:17 35:6 administration 14:12 administrative 37:16 adopts 13:14 advance 25:14 26:7 after 3:13 5:20 6:2 again 7:5 9:3 13:13 15:7,19 17:1 19:2 37:16 agency 30:11,12 agenda 3:13,14 5:4 ago 20:4 agreement 4:25 38:2,18 ahead 29:15 aligned 20:6 25:2 39:4 all 3:21,22 5:17	all-time 14:15 allow 23:21 26:5 36:25 allowing 24:1 allows 23:6 25:7,13 26:25 27:7 37:3 already 17:16,17,19 20:6,10,18 26:21 28:4 33:3,23 36:8 also 5:9,20 11:18 14:2 21:5 22:3, 13,15,20 28:14 29:9 32:18 33:11 36:22 37:2 altogether 9:10 always 11:6			

auditors 7:7	17:3,23	benchmark 25:10	28:12 29:7,15, 20,23 30:18, 22,24 31:3,6, 20 33:4,8 36:8	budgeting 14:4
audits 11:23	ball 25:15 26:8	Bentolila 4:18		build 28:4 34:14 37:2,4
authorization 38:1 39:21	based 6:12 11:1,3 16:16 17:15 32:5 36:15	besides 32:22	both 9:13 21:1,24 22:21 24:6,16 32:17	building 32:7 33:15 37:12
automate 22:3	bases 26:25	best 20:7	breaks 15:2	built 17:3 26:21 32:15,16
availability 7:25	basically 39:8	better 18:6 38:20	bring 3:4 8:5 17:10 19:7 26:22 27:6 29:10 37:3,23	business 3:11 21:24 22:4 36:12,22
available 29:11 31:13,15 33:3	basis 8:15 11:21 16:20 27:6	between 9:4	bringing 20:19 27:10 28:15 33:10,21	by-laws 5:21,23 6:1,10
average 11:9	beauties 32:7	Bill 4:2,14,25 10:25 12:21 16:6	broad 11:15	<hr/> C <hr/>
awarded 31:24	beauty 33:21	billion 13:12 16:17,19	broken 15:12	Caballero 5:12,22 6:6,16
awards 29:5	before 8:17,22 18:21 19:1	bit 16:13,21 19:25 23:15 26:18 33:9 34:3 36:10,21	brought 23:12	call 15:19
away 20:9,14	began 3:2 20:3	Board 3:22 12:16 13:5,14,24 15:21,23,25 16:2 17:19 18:17,18 19:7 20:5 22:21 23:2,8 24:16 39:16	Broward 4:15 11:16	called 36:4
aye 3:23 12:17 39:17	begin 8:6		bucket 37:25	came 24:21
<hr/> B <hr/>	begun 36:9		budget 12:23 13:3,4 14:13 16:23 17:14,21 18:12 34:24 35:4,10, 13,19 37:12,18	can't 8:13 39:8
back 15:24 17:11 20:1 23:11 34:8	being 7:11 14:18 20:23 23:11 25:11 28:24 30:20 34:19		budgeted 14:8	cannot 6:1
backup 26:25	believe 7:17 9:12	Borg 18:24 19:18		cards 25:1
balance 13:1 15:9,16				Carlos 4:17 11:19

carries 4:1 12:20 39:20	charts 29:3	37:24	community 21:11 24:9,12, 17 25:18,19 26:2,3 28:15 32:22 35:16	5:10,16 6:10, 11,16 8:20,22 10:24
case 6:3	chief 4:16	collaborative 30:21 34:7,19		consistent 11:4
categories 9:18	children 13:6,10 24:11 25:17 26:8 34:22	collect 22:10 24:9 35:16	comparing 29:3	consultation 7:13
causing 20:25	Children's 4:15 13:8,20 14:4 24:2	come 4:24 15:21 19:14 34:10 35:7	competitive 6:22 7:6	contain 26:23
Central 20:18 24:6 31:22 32:3 39:9	Children's 38:3	comes 15:24 35:4,20	complete 10:9	contest 9:22
centrally 22:11	clarify 5:10 8:8	comfortable 11:25 33:23	completely 33:19	context 24:23
certainly 34:5	clarifying 38:24	coming 19:23 21:17 24:7,8 35:12	components 37:6	continually 25:3
CFO 4:17	cleaning 38:25	commencing 38:7 39:24	comprehensive 22:25	continue 5:21 8:2 14:3 18:1 34:6
Chair 4:7 12:22 15:17 18:25 19:11,18	cleanup 39:1	comments 3:6,18 4:5 22:21 34:17 39:13	conducted 4:12	continues 13:8
challenge 10:20 21:15	clearer 22:25	committee 4:12,22 5:23 7:8,17,19,21, 22 8:4,5 9:4 11:1 12:9,24, 25 15:24 16:9 17:16 18:5 19:10,19 22:21	conduct 25:4	continuing 7:10
challenges 20:10	clearly 23:17 34:4		connect 33:16	continuous 23:13
change 13:16 26:6,17	click 29:13		connections 20:17	continuously 17:6,12
changes 30:5	clients 31:18		consecutive 9:14	contract 8:6 39:6
chart 15:16 19:11, 12,17 35:1,24 37:13	close 29:15	common 21:12	consensus 11:13	contracts 23:9 39:2
	closely 7:11 39:3	communities 26:7	consider 5:22 6:1	conversation 13:2
	closer		consideration 8:17	core 15:10 39:10
			considered	

Corporation 38:3	22:18 23:23	day 20:23 24:21	designed 27:25	discloses 10:12
correct 7:18	CSC 11:16	de-select 30:2	despite 13:25	discuss 4:23 5:23 15:22 19:23
correctly 8:20	current 7:6 20:7 26:10, 11 36:15 39:3, 4	debriefing 4:23 11:12	detail 10:18 15:4	discussed 16:11 18:5
cost 21:1 27:20	currently 6:1 7:4 17:3 29:2,8	decision 23:13	detailed 19:6 33:12	discussing 11:13 16:25 19:4
costs 14:3,21	cycle 17:10	decision- making 23:24 24:14	details 10:7	discussion 5:20 12:13 15:8,18,23
Council 4:15	cycles 25:24	decisions 23:14,17,20 32:19 37:7	development 29:2,4,8,22,23 33:5 34:4	discussions 15:3 17:16
count 27:21	<hr/> D <hr/>	decrease 14:5,8	developments 19:23	documentation 15:20
county 4:19 16:15,19 26:4 32:22	dashboards 25:1	default 6:17	devices 20:21	dollars 13:6 16:18,19 23:10 29:4 35:7
couple 15:8,15 18:20 19:4	data 22:9 23:6,13, 15 24:13,15, 20,22,23 25:3, 10,13 26:22, 23,25 27:2,6,7, 11,17,24 28:4, 7,12,13,14 31:18,22,24 32:1,8,10,24 33:13 35:15,16 36:10,11 37:3, 5	deliver 32:5	difference 9:21,23	done 8:25 16:13 20:14 32:2 36:9
course 8:10 32:13	data-driven 23:14	democratize 25:12	different 5:3 15:12 19:12 20:15 30:2 31:25 32:9	dots 29:18
created 27:23	data-sharing 26:3 28:16,18	department 4:20	differentiates 9:24	down 14:3,20 15:2, 11,12
creates 27:18		depending 10:2 13:16	direction 17:17 34:5	draw 16:22
critical 13:10 21:12 27:1		depository 36:4	directly 28:9 31:8 33:16	drill 15:11
critically 25:24		describe 5:9	director 4:20 18:23 20:3	drive
cross-portfolio 23:5		descriptive 28:19		
crystalize				

14:20	effective 27:19	enrich 26:5 27:8	everybody's 18:17	expenses 14:9,13
driving 14:3	effectively 14:20	enriched 27:24	everything 10:9 19:9	experience 6:23 9:12,14 10:4,15 11:16 23:4
due 20:4	effectiveness 21:1	enter 39:4	evidenced 20:8	experiences 22:22
Duran 12:12	efficient 14:18	entered 38:17	exact 16:11	explanation 38:15
during 11:12 17:8	effort 25:18	entire 36:25	exactly 8:14 9:1 16:8 31:20	external 4:13,14
<hr/> E <hr/>	efforts 25:25 28:3	environment 10:17 21:5 27:12 28:5	example 8:16 9:18,23 21:16 31:19,20	extract 33:13
each 4:24 15:4	eight 28:2 36:19	equitable 32:19	exceed 38:6 39:23	<hr/> F <hr/>
earlier 16:4 23:16 36:10	eliminated 8:17	essentially 7:16 10:1,10, 15 16:25 17:4, 9,14	Excel 33:14	facilitated 32:18
earmarked 13:21	eliminating 22:8	estimate 16:19	excellent 28:13 31:6	familiar 33:20
easier 22:19,24	emphasize 13:9	evaluation 36:23	exceptionally 13:4	families 13:7,10 14:18 24:11 34:22
easily 25:7	end 6:25 7:1 9:1 14:18 17:9,10	evaluations 35:22	excited 13:4 33:10	fashion 32:19,20
easy 24:19 25:6	ended 19:11	even 17:12 19:5 22:9 32:16	exciting 28:10 34:14	favor 3:21 12:15 39:15
ecosystem 23:19 24:2,3 26:5 28:17 31:16 32:12 34:9 36:16,20 37:1	ending 38:7 39:24	every 14:23 19:16 20:22 24:14 37:22	executive 20:5	fee 7:4
ecstatic 23:1	engage 20:16	everybody 5:3 9:8 11:20 19:13	exist 28:16	feedback 23:1 34:18
effect 3:15 38:9	engagement 6:7,9		expect 36:5,19	feeling 3:9
	engaging 26:3		expense 14:5	

fees 7:2	first-year 7:1	27:4 28:8,24 30:6 37:7,15	27:10 28:1 30:19	17:9 21:12
few 5:8	fiscal 13:18,19,22	found 7:8	FYI 18:17	goals 25:2
fields 6:22	fit 37:25	foundation 21:3 28:17	<hr/> G <hr/>	goes 15:25
Fierman 5:12,22 6:6,8, 16	five 4:11,12 5:11, 15,16 6:2 9:7 16:17 17:12 18:1,7	foundational 32:9 37:4	gain 36:18	good 5:2,5 17:24 19:18 34:9
fifty 35:1,3,4,7,11, 12,19	five-year 7:3 15:16 16:10 17:1,8, 10	four 5:16 12:25 14:6 20:3,4 36:15	Garcia 5:13,22 6:6,16	government 7:14 9:14 10:5, 15
filling 37:18	floating 5:6	front 9:1 13:3	gather 27:13	government- related 9:12
final 13:14	focus 21:9 30:4 32:15	full 15:23,25	general 14:11	grants 21:21
finance 7:20 8:3,4,5 15:24 16:9 19:10,19 29:13	focused 32:13	functionality 22:8,10 33:16 36:24	generating 17:14 26:6	granular 33:12
financial 4:10 37:6	follow 37:9	fund 12:25 15:9,16 16:5 17:3,7,12, 18,23	geographical 32:4	great 13:7
find 8:2 28:24	following 7:20	funded 30:9	getting 9:1 21:18 24:3 25:21 29:9 33:9 37:21,22	greater 24:11
firm 8:23 9:13 10:4, 22 11:8	form 27:17 33:22	funding 14:1 18:1 23:7, 10	give 18:21 34:15	greatly 22:22 23:4
firms 4:9 6:15,17 7:13 8:17 9:5, 19 11:16	forms 22:15 24:18,25	funds 13:21,23 17:20	given 8:2,21	grow 34:6
first 3:10 11:7 14:24 34:16 36:11 38:1	forums 25:12	further 5:20 6:10 12:13 22:4 25:14	gives 20:1	growth 36:20
	forward 19:21,24 21:23 24:1,24 26:11	future	giving 13:1	guess 10:22 12:6 30:20 36:6
			global 19:10,13,17	guests 19:20
			goal	guidance

13:1	held 4:23	3:4,8,10,14,18, 21,24 4:1,6 8:8 9:5,9,16 10:19 11:24 12:4,6, 13,15,18,20 16:3 18:8,11, 19 36:2 37:9, 24 38:12,14 39:5,12,15,18, 20	Identifying 21:14	16:5
<hr/> H <hr/>	help 24:23		IDR 28:5,9,11 35:5, 13,14	increase 13:25 14:7 15:13
HAJ 3:9 4:7 11:12 12:22 18:16,25 28:11 29:17 32:21 34:25 35:2,8,10,24 37:20	helpful 25:10 27:17 37:13		impact 24:11 27:21	indicators 24:9 28:15
hands 25:22	Herald 14:25	Hope 3:17 9:17 12:11 30:17, 19,23,25 31:4, 17 33:1 34:23 35:1,6,9,18,22 38:11	impacted 25:17	indiscernible 27:5
happen 30:15	here 8:1,7 10:23 19:9 20:1,5 26:12 29:25 30:3,7 32:24 33:16 34:16	hoping 33:8	importance 13:9	individual 9:13 10:13 33:18
happened 3:8	here's 24:21	house 39:1	important 23:19 25:24	information 20:16 21:6,9, 19 22:1,5,17 23:18 24:3,6,7, 8,10,13,18,25 25:5,6,12,22 26:12,13,15, 18,19,24 27:1, 5,10,13,16,17, 18 28:9,19,21, 22 29:18 31:14,21 32:5, 21 33:17,24 34:1,8,24 36:13,15
happening 26:2	high 7:1	hover 30:10	impression 18:5	improve 22:23 25:3 34:21
happy 14:17	highest 11:6	hundred 35:3,4,11,12	improved 22:22 23:4	improvement 20:20,25
hardware 38:5	highlight 12:23 13:3	<hr/> I <hr/>	improvements 22:5	improves 28:14
having 21:15 28:7	highly 11:8		improves 28:14	infrastructure 20:22 21:7
head 27:20	hire 11:1	I-PAD 29:14,17	include 17:21	initial 36:12
hear 23:1	historical 11:20,21	I-PADS 5:5	included 5:24 6:3 10:8 24:3	initially 5:15
heard 22:20	hits 21:25	ideas 34:10	includes 5:6 14:6 27:10	initiative 30:13
hearing 23:18	Hitting 25:9	identified 21:20 30:6	including	innovative 22:7 26:22,23
hearings 15:1	HOFFMAN			

inquire 25:14	8:11	11:9	14:9,11 15:3,8, 15,18 16:6,9, 11,25 17:16,19 19:4 23:2,8 33:4 34:23,25 37:11 39:1	leveraging 21:24
inquisitive 25:8,11	item 8:12 35:19	kids 14:17		license 38:24
instead 37:21	items 5:10,24	kind 16:4 18:17 19:2,5 28:1 34:15 38:24		licenses 38:4,20,21 39:5,8
institute 17:2	<hr/> J <hr/>	KIRTLAND 4:4 5:2 8:14 9:7,11 10:1 11:3,15 12:2,5 16:8 18:13	later 14:19	licensing 38:19
integrated 28:12,13 32:8	Jacobo 3:16 33:2,7 38:10		lawyers 39:3	like 8:24 9:3 10:21 12:23 23:13 25:3 33:20 34:12 37:15,18 38:23
intensive 4:9	Jacques 4:18	knocked 9:9 10:21	lead 6:7,9 11:10	
Interactive 29:13	Jenkins 5:13 6:19	knowledge 27:18	leaders 23:5	
interfaces 31:25	Jim 4:4,6 12:21 16:3	KOBRINSKI 12:8	leadership 19:19 20:5 34:21	likely 8:15
internal 4:13,14,20	July 8:5 13:13,16 15:24	<hr/> L <hr/>	leading 6:18 25:5	line 35:19
International 38:3	July's 8:4	laborious 26:18	least 11:7 37:14	links 20:15
interpretation 6:4 8:19	June 28:6,7	laid 5:19	leaves 6:17	list 9:6,8,10 24:7 29:25
into 15:4 16:4 17:12 23:25 25:22 27:6 28:5 33:13,22 36:16 38:17 39:4	<hr/> K <hr/>	landscape 26:10,12 27:10	Leigh 7:18 8:9 12:7	little 16:13,21 18:21 19:25 23:15 33:9 36:10
investment 23:8	Keefe 5:12 6:19	language 5:25	LEON 3:13	lives 34:21
issue 21:18	keep 26:24 27:4	larger 30:7	less 16:18	Llerena 5:13,22 6:6,16
issues	Ken 37:20	Larsen 4:15	level 24:17 33:12	located 22:11
	kept	last 12:24 13:5,24	leverage 22:6 23:13 26:17 31:1,11	locating

36:9	23:17 24:11, 15,24 32:19	may 3:11 4:23 9:21 13:16 25:9 34:23	merit 14:6	29:3
location 31:18	makes 28:17	maybe 5:9 7:14 8:16, 17,18 10:9,10, 17 16:17 18:6	met all 11:4	moments 5:8
looked 9:11	making 37:6	Mccullough 5:12 6:19	Miami 34:22 35:16	money 14:1 15:12
looking 5:9 7:9 9:14 12:25 19:24 22:2,3 33:15 36:23	managed 20:23	meant 6:5	Miami-dade 4:19 13:11 16:15,18	monitoring 17:23
lost 22:14	management 14:5,9,13,21 21:9 25:5 26:18	meantime 8:3 16:14	Microsoft 38:18 39:7,8,9	month 4:22 16:1 19:16 23:2,8 28:6,7 37:22
lot 5:3 8:25 10:6 14:1,2 15:2 16:10 19:22 22:15 25:7	managing 17:22	measure 25:3 26:7 27:19,21	might 4:4 11:6 18:13 33:16 39:1	months 15:3,8,15,18 20:4 28:2 36:19 37:23 38:6 39:24
low 6:25 14:15	manual 22:8,15	measurements 25:20 36:24	millage 13:2,15 16:21 17:10	Monti 4:14
lower 14:16	many 9:5 17:25 20:9 32:9	meet 5:16 22:6 23:23	million 13:6,19,21 23:10 29:4 35:7	more 14:1,2,17,18 17:6 18:2 21:4, 9 23:18,21 30:15 31:8 33:11,22 34:12,13,14
lowest 14:15	map 29:14 31:18 33:20	meeting 3:2,4 4:23 5:18,23 11:12 13:5,24 16:6,9, 25 17:17,19 36:24	minute 18:20	30:15 31:8 33:11,22 34:12,13,14
<hr/> M <hr/>	mapping 31:15 32:24	meetings 9:4 12:25 16:1 22:21 23:2,3	minutes 3:11,19	morning 5:2 19:18
made 8:1,3,7 19:22 38:23	Marcum 5:12 6:18 11:1, 14,15,19 12:3, 10	members 3:22 12:16 16:2 19:18 24:17,21 39:16	mission 25:2	most 8:14 11:4 37:19
maintenance 38:5	marriage 21:6		mobile-friendly 29:11 33:25	
majority 13:23 17:20	matter 18:20		module 21:21	motion 3:15 4:1 7:19 12:7,8,11,20 18:14 38:9 39:20
make 4:2 9:1 22:4,18	Mauldin 5:13 6:19		Molina 4:17 11:19	
			moment	

move 3:16 4:2 15:23 21:4 23:25 24:1,23 27:3,9 30:5 36:16 37:3,7 38:10	30:4,6,9 34:2 39:10 needed 20:13 21:19 22:11 32:10,25 needs 7:10 17:7 24:13,19 26:17 32:6,14,17 negotiating 8:6 net 14:4 network 20:21 new 18:23 19:23 37:3 next 4:11 8:6 14:7, 22 16:1,23 17:5,12,21 18:1,7 27:9 28:2 33:6,8 35:25 36:19 37:19 nine 35:1 normal 8:10 notated 30:1 notice 15:1 16:10 notified	16:14 notify 7:22 NUELL 36:3 37:8 number 11:14 30:12 numbers 13:14 35:25 <hr/> O <hr/> October 38:7 39:24 off 9:9 16:16 29:6 office 10:17 16:15 20:17 officer 4:17 often 7:12 old-fashioned 5:5 on-board 21:17 on-line 36:6 once 14:24 15:22 21:7 one 8:16,19 9:24	11:7,14,18 14:19 15:5 17:1 20:10 21:10,14,15 24:20,21 25:18 26:12 27:24 29:12,21,22 30:15 31:6 32:7 34:23 35:6,19 37:10 39:9,13 ones 37:16 ongoing 7:10 25:18 34:20 only 20:4 22:13 28:14 open 7:24 opening 3:5 operating 4:17 Operations 19:19 opportunities 17:7 18:2 22:17 23:22 26:3,6 28:16, 18 opportunity 19:20 20:1 Opposed 3:24 12:18	39:18 optimized 27:25 order 3:5,10 20:23 21:19,23 23:16,19 26:17 31:11,14 organization 4:16 10:5 organizational 24:4 organizations 5:7,15,16 9:7 originally 16:17 outcomes 25:25 26:1 outlining 10:10 outreach 31:8 over 12:24 23:1 25:9,11 30:10 35:11 overall 16:18,24 own 23:19 31:1,14 <hr/> P <hr/> pages 14:22
---	---	---	---	---

paper 5:6	36:17	27:7,13 28:23	preliminary 13:12,13 18:12	procedural 8:11
parameters 8:21	Performance 25:20	places 26:13,16,20	prepared 7:15 24:25 27:24	proceed 18:7
parenting 29:4,22	perhaps 8:16,18	plans 13:20	prescriptive 28:20	PROCEEDING S 3:1
part 6:11 7:21 37:19 38:25	period 17:9	pleased 4:8 11:22	present 4:8 18:16,18 32:10	process 4:3,9 5:7 6:11 7:24 9:2 21:1, 17,22,24 22:7, 23 23:6 27:7 31:7,23,24 32:13,16 34:7, 20 36:9,22 37:17
particular 3:5 8:12 18:12	person 26:15	point 17:22 19:14 24:14,20	presentation 4:3 10:23 16:4, 14 18:24	
partner 6:7,9	perspective 24:7	points 16:11 23:6,15, 18 24:15,23,24 25:13	presentations 5:4 19:1	
partnering 34:21	pervasive 24:13	policies 6:13	presented 18:7 24:18	processed 27:18
partners 21:7,11,14	phone 29:10	policy 39:4	presenting 5:1 6:14 24:25	processes 20:19 22:4,8, 19 23:12,24 24:1 27:14,23
parts 26:5	phones 33:24	poor 26:19	pretty 17:24 19:15	
pass 28:8	picture 37:17	pop 29:14	preview 29:13 33:9 34:16	profile 10:13
past 3:14 11:17,23 14:16	pie 19:12 35:1,24 37:13	pops 29:17	previous 6:2,9 13:19	program 8:24 13:9,22 14:1 35:22
paying 7:6	piecemeal 37:22	population 30:7	previously 30:7	programs 15:12 17:12, 18,25 25:5,21, 23 31:12
people 12:1 24:9 25:12,13,22 32:23	pillars 39:9	portion 38:15	price 6:24	progress 19:22
percent 11:10 14:6 15:13	pilot 28:4,7 36:11	posted 33:5	prices 6:24 7:2	progressed 7:2
perfect	piloting 36:14	practice 20:7	primarily 32:13	
	place 20:19 21:3,8 22:12,14,17 25:6 26:23	predictive 28:20		

project 10:11	provides 13:10 26:24	34:3	reaching 26:2	refer 15:17 18:13
projected 16:17	providing 7:17 22:9	<hr/> R <hr/>	ready 29:9	reflect 15:14 18:3
projects 17:4,7	public 3:6 22:20	radical 25:1	realize 34:11	reflected 31:24
promoted 4:19	published 14:25	raise 17:8	realized 20:10	relative 11:15
pronouncements 7:14	purposes 31:2	raised 16:20	really 15:11 17:21 25:14 26:17 32:12 39:3	relatively 17:15
property 13:11 16:15,18	put 8:21 11:25 14:1 19:9 35:25	ran 5:4	recent 21:16 29:23	release 16:2
proposals 6:24 7:5	<hr/> Q <hr/>	range 6:24	recently 4:19 13:11 22:20	released 4:21
proposed 13:3	qualifications 5:18 6:23 10:12,13 11:4	ranked 11:6,8	recommendati on 4:25 6:15 7:20 8:1,7 10:25 12:9	relevant 6:22
proud 14:14	qualified 6:18	rankings 11:5	recommendati ons 23:7,9	remarks 3:6
provide 11:22 21:20 22:15 24:6	qualitative 8:11	rate 7:6 13:15 16:22 17:2,8, 10 38:20	recommending 6:14	remote 10:17
provided 10:18 28:22	question 9:17 10:20 30:17 34:23 36:3	rather 6:8	record 29:6	renew 38:4 39:21
provider 9:25 31:17 32:18,22	questions 18:8 25:8 34:12,18 37:10 39:12	ratified 38:15	recording 3:2	report 13:11 24:22 25:9 36:25
providers 21:19 22:14 23:3,22 24:17 30:20,25 31:9, 10 32:6,14 33:18 34:8	quick 36:3	ratify 38:2	recusals 38:12	reporting 27:8,25 31:1 32:2,3 35:17
providers' 22:22	quite	raw 27:16,17	redundancy 27:11	reports 36:15
		re-engineering 21:25		repository 26:22,23 28:12,13 32:8
		reach 17:5 34:8		represent

12:2	4:10	reviews	says	seem
representation	RESPONSE	22:16,19	24:22	38:23
s	3:7,20,25	RFP	scaled	seemingly
32:4	12:14,19 18:10	18:22	7:1	10:6
request	38:13 39:14,19	RFQ	scenario	sees
32:23	rest	4:10,21 5:19	18:4	19:10
requests	23:12 39:2	6:12	schedules	select
22:10	resulted	road	17:15 18:3	12:10 30:2,10
require	23:8	21:25	school	selected
27:14	results	roll	26:4	30:1
requirements	4:8,24 5:6	13:12 16:16	scope	selection
5:17 6:12 8:19, 22	7:17,23 8:1	34:11	10:8	4:3 12:9
research	11:5,22	rollback	score	sense
25:4 35:4,8,9, 10,12,21	retroactively	13:15 17:2	8:11 25:1	26:14
reso	38:2,15	rolled	scored	separately
35:3,25 37:22	return	34:13	9:20 11:8	10:12
resolution	6:2	rubber	scores	September
8:5 38:1,23	revenue	21:25	7:25	16:1 38:7
39:21	13:17 16:22	rules	scoring	39:24
resolutions	revenues	27:15,22	6:3,18 9:23	served
18:20 37:25	17:11,22	S	11:2,3	32:17
resos	review		scroll	service
14:19 19:6,12, 14,16 35:25	4:9,12,22 5:7, 17,21 6:10,12	said	30:15	11:21 20:9,20, 24
respective	7:8,16 8:1,16, 18 22:9	16:4 24:21	searchable	services
4:24	reviewed	28:13	33:19	4:11,15,20
respond	6:21 9:18 11:1	same	second	7:11,13 8:24
4:22	reviewer	13:18 15:7	3:17 11:8	13:10,22 14:1
responded	11:7	16:10,24 25:9	12:12,23 15:7	21:4 31:13
3:22 12:16	reviewers	39:6	29:12 38:11	39:22
39:16	4:13,24 11:5,9, 19	savings	39:20	serving
responding	reviewing	14:11	secure	32:13
8:23	8:23	say	24:19 27:12	set
		9:18 10:23	seeking	21:5 27:23,24
		23:3	7:19	28:4,7 29:9

36:11,12,14 37:5 39:1	shows 15:16,18 34:24	slots 30:12	span 19:2	28:24
sets 27:2 39:10	side 29:25	small 19:8 28:4 36:14 37:5	speak 10:15 26:5	start 20:19 21:8 24:10 26:1 28:14 33:22 36:17 37:6
seven 14:6	significant 28:3	software 38:4,19,20 39:10,22	speaking 10:3	started 22:18 28:4 37:5
several 5:23	similar 37:17	solicitation 21:21 31:23 32:16	spend 37:19	starting 26:21 27:6 36:11
share 19:20 25:4 26:10 29:1,7	simple 33:22	solicitations 8:24 21:20	spending 13:9	starts 19:1
sharing 19:24 21:12 29:24 31:9	simpler 17:15	solid 28:17 32:8	spoke 10:18	state 28:1
sheet 15:7,9,10	simplified 21:2	solidly 21:8	spreadsheet 33:14	stated 9:3
sheets 15:6	since 20:3 34:13	solution 22:7	staff 7:10,12 10:11 11:24 14:7 20:5,11 22:16 24:17 31:9 32:18 37:10	staying 11:10
Sheryl 18:23,25 19:2, 17 36:2	single 20:22 22:12,17 27:7	solutions 20:9	stage 33:5	stays 16:24
SHI 38:2	sir 30:18	somebody 10:20	stakeholders 24:16	Stephanie 18:21
shortly 29:25	sister 4:16	someone 9:22	stand 19:25	Steve 3:17 12:11 32:21 38:11
should 6:11 10:24 13:16 28:20	site 30:12,15	something 29:1,8 33:14 34:4 37:4	standardizatio n 20:12	still 25:16 26:9 34:3
show 19:5 29:20 31:25 33:11 37:11	sites 30:1	soon 33:7	standardized 21:2	stories 25:4
showed 10:23	sitting 20:1	sorry 12:21	standardizing 36:24	storing 22:8
	six 20:15 28:2 36:19	sources 37:3	standpoint	
	slide 27:9 34:25			

story 25:17	system 31:22	13:12,17 16:16	37:10	32:19
strategy 15:11 16:5,10, 24 17:1 18:3,4, 6 27:4	systems 20:23 22:3 24:5 26:4 28:25 32:3	team 11:25 12:2 22:16 24:21	third 15:10	today 4:8 5:1,4 6:13 7:16 8:7 14:19 15:22
streets 13:6	<hr/> T <hr/>	technical 5:17 6:12 8:15, 22 21:3	thought 8:9,13	today's 8:3
strict 8:21	tab 3:12 12:23 15:15,16 16:7, 10 29:13	technology 14:19,20 21:6, 8,24 22:5 30:23 31:1,10, 11 33:21 34:24	thousand 14:6	together 10:6 21:7,12 26:22 27:6,11 28:15 36:7 37:23,24
structure 37:2	tablets 33:24	tee 18:25	three 4:13 6:15,17 12:25 14:9,11, 22 15:3,6,19, 21	tools 20:12 32:10
submitted 5:11 38:22	tabs 5:4	Telling 25:16	three-year 38:18	top 6:14
substantive 8:18	take 5:8 15:5,25 16:6 18:11,18 24:15 30:14	term 7:3 38:6 39:23	threshold 16:20	total 38:5 39:22
success 21:13	takes 36:21	than 6:8 14:16 30:15 38:21	through 13:22 15:4,5, 22 16:7 24:8 25:23 30:16 31:23 32:2,3 34:9 36:12	touch 29:18
successfully 10:10	talk 32:11 34:19	their 6:7,22 7:19 8:1 10:4,8,15 11:9, 23 16:19 20:13,16,17 21:20 22:23 23:4 25:24 31:1,11,14,18	throughout 19:5 31:15 32:22	touchpoints 23:22
such 6:22	talked 15:14 23:15 30:19 36:10 37:11	thing 13:7	ties 10:6 16:4	towards 16:22
suite 20:17	talking 16:5,11 24:5 31:10 32:12 37:21	things 20:8,9,22 21:10 23:13 26:1 32:16,23 34:11,12,14	time 16:11 25:11 28:10 31:9 32:23 34:16 36:17,21 38:23	traction 36:18
support 21:21 23:20 28:25 31:11 34:20 38:5	talks 15:9		timely	transparency 22:24
supporting 15:20 21:2	tax		timeline 15:22	tremendous 20:11,25 36:20
SYLVESTRE 18:23 29:12,21 35:14,20,23 38:17 39:7			timely	trend 34:5
				trends 20:7

TRIM 15:1 16:1,2	6:10 39:6	33:25	VERBAL 3:7,20,25 12:14,19 18:10 38:13 39:14,19	33:23 36:23
true 26:7	understanding 6:4 9:19 10:2, 8,14 17:24	users' 27:22		web 20:15,17
truly 26:17	understood 25:7	using 6:2,7 14:20 23:16,17 31:25 33:21	verse 28:22	website 29:9,24 33:6
Trust 13:7,8,20 14:4, 8 19:19 20:6, 18 21:10 23:5 24:2,6 25:4 26:24 31:21 32:3 38:3 39:9	unit 27:20	utilization 27:20	versus 8:12 9:24 10:21	websites 33:17
Trust's 4:10	United 4:18 11:18	utilize 7:13 23:6	view 19:13	week 19:4 33:9
trying 26:15,19	University 35:16	utilized 11:16,17 24:14	vision 20:6 21:11	weighting 23:17
	unlikely 8:23 9:4	utilizing 17:4 31:21	visual 25:1 29:8	welcome 34:17,18
	upcoming 7:14	<hr/> V <hr/>	<hr/> W <hr/>	Wendy 4:14
twenty 14:5	update 18:22 19:21	valorem 13:17	want 4:2 15:5,11,14, 17 16:6 19:5 27:9 36:16 37:2,4	wet 34:15
two 4:13 9:19 11:7 15:18 18:6 19:1 27:2 35:25 37:25 39:10	updating 16:13	value 7:8 16:16,18 21:16 22:13 25:2 31:7	wanted 9:2 17:18 19:9 29:1 33:10,13	Whatever 36:4
type 11:21 30:12 37:17	usable 24:16	value-add 21:4	wants 15:4	WHEREUPON 3:22 12:16 39:16
types 31:25 32:9	use 17:2 20:15,22 21:16 27:16 32:9	variables 9:21 30:3	way 4:18 11:18 19:21 20:15 27:11,12 28:21 38:22	wherever 32:24
<hr/> U <hr/>	used 11:19,20 31:23	variety 24:18	ways 20:16 22:2,3 31:6 32:5	whether 21:14
un-click 30:5	user 26:14,19 27:23 33:12	vast 13:23		while 14:18 18:1
under	user-based 27:14	vendors 38:4 39:22		whistle 34:15
	users			whole 19:17
				will

4:25 12:2		
13:14 14:25	<hr/> Y <hr/>	
15:21,23 16:2		
17:6,8 18:1,21	year	
27:19 28:2	13:18,19,22	
29:10,14,19	14:7,10,23	
31:15 32:4	17:1,5,15	
33:5 36:25	37:11,19 39:6	
within	year's	
5:24 10:8 17:5	16:23 17:21	
30:8 32:12	yearly	
37:25 39:6	38:21,24	
without	years	
39:7	4:11 6:3 14:9,	
Withum	11,16 17:13	
9:9 10:22	18:1,7 19:4	
Withumsmith+	yield	
brown	6:4	
5:14,18	youth	
words	29:4,22	
8:12 12:1	<hr/> Z <hr/>	
work		
7:11 8:25 9:19	zoom	
10:5,8,14 14:2	29:21	
17:6 20:13		
21:7 25:16		
26:9 30:20		
31:5 34:3		
35:15 39:8,11		
worked		
39:3		
working		
10:2 21:12		
25:23		
wrong		
7:18		