

Nominating Committee Meeting Transcript February 18, 2021

THE CHILDREN'S TRUST NOMINATING COMMITTEE MEETING

"VIRTUAL MEETING VIA ZOOM WEBINAR WITH A QUORUM OF

MEMEBERS PHYSICALLY PRESENT

AND SOME MEMBERS ATTENDING VIRTUALLY"

The Children's Trust Board of Directors Committee Meeting was held on February 18, 2021 commencing at 3:00 p.m., with a quorum of members physically present and some members attending virtually. The meeting was called to order by Dr. Daniel Bagner, Chair.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

ORIGINAL

Dr. Daniel Bagner, Chair (Zoom) Gilda Ferradaz, Vice Chair

Mary Donworth

Steve Hope

Marissa Leichter

Dr. Susan Neimand (Zoom)

Judge Orlando Prescott

Mark Trowbridge

Kenneth C. Hoffman, ex-officio (Zoom)

1	STAFF:
2	James R. Haj
3	Muriel Jeanty
4	Juana Leon
5	Lisete Yero
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

25

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Recording of the meeting began at 3:15 p.m.)
3	DR. BAGNER: Well, maybe we'll give one more
4	minute or so. I think we're a minute short.
5	MR. HAJ: Mr. Chair, all the board members are
6	in attendance.
7	DR. BAGNER: Oh, great. So, we'll get
8	started.
9	Thank you, everyone for joining us. Both in
10	person and virtually. So, we're going to get
11	started with our committee meeting, our nominating
12	committee today.
13	As you all know we're here, we're going to
14	work on selecting candidates to interview for the
15	one at large position that we had talked about
16	briefly at our previous meeting, and so hopefully
17	you all had a chance to review the applications.
18	Thank you to the staff and Muriel for setting
19	up the 'At A Glance'. That was a really helpful
20	way to review the applications.
21	So, I think the best way to do this, I think
22	we did this a couple of years a year or so ago
23	when we selected a couple of other at large

members, is to go through all the committee members

and have everyone provide, maybe their top -- I'm

1 thinking top five candidates if that sounds 2. reasonable, and then we could see how many received several votes, or how many received the most votes. 3 4 And I think we're probably aiming towards 5 somewhere between five and seven candidates to interview on, I believe we have March -- the 6 7 afternoon, March 1st is the day that we're planning on conducting those interviews. So, is there any 8 objection to that? Everyone, does that sound 9 10 reasonable to everyone? 11 MR. HOFFMAN: Dan? 12 MS. DONWORTH: Could I? I'm sorry. Can I 13 just ask a question? Is there identified sort of 14 gaps that we want to target because I know --15 DR. BAGNER: Yeah. So --16 MS. DONWORTH: Someone had mentioned, like, 17 somebody with a finance background and we also 18 don't have a doctor. DR. BAGNER: Yeah, that's a really good 19 2.0 question. I think we spent a little bit of time 21 last meeting talking about that, so I think there 22 were a couple of things that were brought up and 23 let me know if I'm missing anything. 2.4 I do think, you know, this position is 25 replacing Steve Hope who is stepping off, so given

2.

2.4

Steve's expertise in finance, I think that certainly can be helpful although at the same time our, you know, our most recent members that joined that at large I believe have some finance experience.

So, I don't know if we feel like we have to cover that area, but that's certainly the area we're losing with Steve in chairing the finance committee.

I think another area that was raised is certainly a physician or healthcare. That that's something that we would like to pursue and have that representation on the Board.

And finally, I think another important piece to consider, especially in light of the ready discussions that we had as a Board and what we'll probably going to be talking more about at our upcoming retreat is the importance of racial and ethnic diversity on this -- on our Board.

And so, I think -- I certainly -- when I was reading the applications that was important to me and sticking out to me. So, I think there are a few different priorities and there may be variability in which ones individually we prioritize.

1 I don't know if they're missing anything or if 2. anyone wants to add anything before, we talk about the applicant -- talk about those that stood out. 3 4 MR. HAJ: Mr. Chair? If I may, you had asked, 5 and the committee had asked, if we would bring back the diversity of our current Board and I'd like to 6 7 have staff pop it up. We have a couple of pie charts as you go into decision making. 8 9 So, you had asked about the race. We add 10 gender and age, and the professional fields of our 11 current board members are on the left just at a 12 glance to help guide the discussion. 13 Great. Thank you for putting DR. BAGNER: 14 that up and putting that together. Yeah, and I did 15 see that in the professional field, and we do have 16 many of the areas covered. 17 And we do have certainly some racial and 18 ethnic diversity, although I think that can certainly be enhanced on our Board. Any other 19 2.0 thoughts or comments before we jump into 21 identifying potential candidates to review -- to interview? 2.2 23 Yeah, I had one question. MR. HOFFMAN: You 24 said you were looking for five to seven to 25 interview? That seems like a -- well, it's a

1 statement and a question. It seems like a lot and then I don't see my 2. name on the chart. I'm not -- an ex-officio 3 4 member, but when you're through with all of them, 5 I'd just to lay in on a couple of them. DR. BAGNER: Absolutely. Yeah, I think -- I 6 7 was just throwing that out there. I think seven is quite high. I was trying -- does anyone of the 8 9 staff know how many we brought in for interviews 10 last year? And I believe last year we were 11 selecting two candidates. 12 MR. HOFFMAN: I think we had about five for 13 two candidates, if I recall. Maybe five or six, 14 but it wasn't --15 MS. JEANTY: It was eight people. 16 DR. BAGNER: Eight people for two positions? 17 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. 18 MS. JEANTY: For one position. It was 19 Monique's position when she came on. 20 DR. BAGNER: Oh, it was just for one position. 21 MS. JEANTY: Uh-huh. 22 DR. BAGNER: Okay. 23 MR. HOFFMAN: Okay. 24 Well, I guess that's up for DR. BAGNER: 25 discussion. I, you know, I mean we could start out

1 more liberal and have folks just call out, you 2. know, several names and then kind of see what kind 3 of consensus we have. Does that sound like a good 4 5 I mean, just from my own standpoint I have, let's see. One, two, three, four, five, six. So, 6 7 I think I had identified seven initially, so we can maybe have everyone identify at first up to seven 8 people that stood out for them, and then we could 9 10 start narrowing it from there if that sounds 11 reasonable. Any objections to that? 12 MS. JEANTY: -- there -- yes. 13 MR. HOPE: Mr. Chair, Steve Hope here. 14 DR. BAGNER: Yes, Steve. MR. HOPE: Yeah, so when I looked at the 15 package that was sent, one of the things I think --16 17 I've noticed over the years is that when it comes 18 for mental health, I don't think we have anyone on the Board that has that area of specialization. 19 20 And in looking at issues affecting a lot of 21 youth, I've come across, frequently, issues of the 22 impact on mental health on a lot of our youth, 23 particularly at-risk youth. 24 And you know, over the past year with Covid-25 19, there are studies that have shown that a

1	significant number of our youth may need to have
2	access to some type of mental health counseling.
3	And I think it might be helpful to have
4	someone on the Board that brings that kind of
5	background so that would be one of the areas that I
6	would advocate for.
7	DR. NEIMAND: This is
8	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Isn't that Monique's
9	background?
10	DR. NEIMAND: Yeah. Monique is a psychologist
11	and so is Tiombe.
12	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Correct.
13	DR. BAGNER: And so am I.
14	DR. NEIMAND: And there you go.
15	MR. HOPE: But I get no, so we have the
16	expertise.
17	DR. BAGNER: But I do think you raise an
18	important point, Steve, and I think some of the
19	applicants certainly brought this up that given the
20	current crisis, particularly related to Covid, that
21	I don't think it could be understated how critical
22	mental health is at this point. So, I think it's
23	certainly an important consideration. Susan, I see
24	your hand up.
25	DR. NEIMAND: Yes. I wanted to share that we

24

25

1 have a lot of educators on our Board. And so, when 2. I looked at the list of people, I sort of deselected them because we have school board 3 4 people, we have union people, we have university 5 people. We have a lot of people representing the 6 7 education sphere. So, that might be something that we deselect in terms of the people that we're going 8 to interview. 9 10 DR. BAGNER: Thank you, Susan. Yeah, I do 11 think we have a good amount of educators, although 12 I think we could think about education as across 13 the spectrum. 14 So, there may be some, you know, some 15 educators in certain areas that maybe want to use 16 their -- that their expertise might be valuable for 17 the Board. 18 So, great. Any other comments before -- I 19 think it would be helpful to just jump in and see 20 what kind of consensus we have as a committee. So, 21 maybe I'll just -- I'll start. I'll start off. 22 I'm going to just read off the names of the --

I had seven, but I identified ones as I would be

think someone is going to be keeping track as we

interested potentially in interviewing. And I

1	read them off; is that right?
2	MS. JEANTY: Yes, yes. Will do.
3	MR. HAJ: Mr. Chair, we'll keep tally.
4	DR. BAGNER: Okay, great. So, I'll start and
5	then we'll go around the committee members. So,
6	the ones I identified, Patricia Aires-Romero,
7	Heather Bins, Kevin Bumpers, Brittany Fields,
8	Clarence Jones, Annette La Greca, and Justin Pin.
9	Okay. So, I'm going just this is in no
10	special order. I'm just go in order of how you're
11	on my screen. So, I'm going to start Dr.
12	Neimand, we'll start with you. You're on mute.
13	DR. NEIMAND: So, yeah. I noticed that. I
14	also agreed with Patricia Aires-Romero. I have
15	included Brittany Fields. I have Leslie Fraser. I
16	have Jose Pagliery. I have Maria Alana-Ramon-
17	Coton, and Natalie Williams.
18	MS. JEANTY: Oh. Can you between for
19	you.
20	DR. BAGNER: Thank you, Dr. Neimand. Judge
21	Prescott?
22	MR. HAJ: Mr. Chair? Can we go back to Dr.
23	Neimand to repeat those once again just to confirm?
24	DR. BAGNER: Sure.
25	DR. NEIMAND: My papers all got shuffled up.

1	Okay. So, yes. Patricia Ares-Romero, Maria Alana
2	Ramon-Coton, Jose Pagliery. He's X, to the right.
3	It's alphabetized. It's P. Leslie Fraser? Yes,
4	you got her. Brittany Fields? Yes, you got her.
5	And Patricia Ares-Romero and Natalie Williams.
6	DR. BAGNER: Great. Thank you for clarifying,
7	Dr. Neimand.
8	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Just to confirm, she had six,
9	correct?
10	DR. BAGNER: Six. Great. Judge Prescott.
11	JUDGE PRESCOTT: Go beyond me, please.
12	DR. BAGNER: Okay. Mary?
13	MS. DONWORTH: All right. I had Edward
14	Abraham, Patricia Ares-Romero, Trevor Beaney,
15	Lorenza
16	MS. JEANTY: can't put it while you
17	are
18	MS. DONWORTH: Sure.
19	DR. BAGNER: I don't think they're keeping up
20	with you, Mary.
21	MS. DONWORTH: Ready?
22	DR. BAGNER: Okay.
23	MS. DONWORTH: Edward Abraham, Patricia Ares-
24	Romero, Trevor Beaney, Lorenza Cobiella or
25	Cobiella, and Jose Pagliery.

1	DR. BAGNER: Great. Thank you, Mary. So,
2	that's one, two, three, confirming five; is that
3	correct?
4	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Confirming, five, correct?
5	MS. DONWORTH: Five, correct.
6	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Great.
7	DR. BAGNER: Great. Okay. Gilda?
8	MS. FERRADAZ:
9	MS. JEANTY: Hold on, hold on. We Gilda, I
10	don't think
11	DR. BAGNER: Yeah, I can't hear Gilda, but I'm
12	not sure if you, in person, can.
13	MS. FERRADAZ: Oh, sorry. I didn't turn on my
14	microphone.
15	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Pull it down a little bit,
16	Gilda.
17	MS. FERRADAZ: What?
18	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Just pull it down a little.
19	MS. FERRADAZ: Okay. Patricia Ares-Romero,
20	Trevor Beaney, Heather Binz, Kevin Bumpers, Leslie
21	Fraser, Clarence Jones, Annette Maria La Greca.
22	I'm not sure how many I have. And Sylvia Valdez.
23	I'm not sure how many I picked. Did I go over?
24	DR. BAGNER: I think we didn't have a real
25	strict limit, here. Anywhere between, like, five

```
and seven I think initially. So, you had one, two
 1
 2.
 3
              MS. FERRADAZ: Okay, sorry. I hope.
 4
              DR. BAGNER: And eight? Is that -- is eight -
 5
         - that's okay. Is that how many you got? Just to
         make sure we had it correct?
 6
 7
              MS. FERRADAZ: Yeah.
              DR. BAGNER: Okay, great. Marissa?
 8
              MS. LEICHTER: I need, like, a few minutes as
 9
10
         well.
              DR. BAGNER: No worries. We'll come back to
11
12
         you. Steve, did you compile a list?
13
              MR. HOPE: Patricia Romero, Heather Bins,
14
         Norman Gerstein, Jose Pagliery, and Maria Ramone --
15
         Jose Pagliery, and Maria Ramon-Coton.
16
              MS. JEANTY: Gerstein?
              MR. HOPE: Yeah. So, I have five.
17
18
              MR. TROWBRIDGE: You're missing one.
19
              MR. HOPE: I'm sorry.
              DR. BAGNER: Yeah, there's one missing on the
20
21
         XL file. Because we have Patricia, Heather Bins,
22
         Jose Pagliery, and Maria-Colin Roman. What's the
23
         fifth one?
24
              MS. JEANTY: Norman Gerstein, --
25
              MR. HOPE: If I may ask, the process is look
```

1	through the candidates that all the committee
2	members have been coming first. Is that the
3	approach?
4	DR. BAGNER: Correct.
5	MR. HOPE: Okay.
6	DR. BAGNER: Just to see how much consent.
7	So, did you have a fifth one that we missed, or did
8	you only have four?
9	MR. HOPE: No, I had five.
10	DR. BAGNER: Okay. So, I think we're missing
11	one on the XL file that they're keeping track of.
12	MS. JEANTY: You have five. Gerstein.
13	MR. HOPE: Romero, Bins, Gerstein, Pagliery,
14	Bins, and Maria Ramon-Coton.
15	MS. JEANTY: Gerstein.
16	DR. BAGNER: Yeah. I think it was Gerstein
17	was the one that we missed. You're ready on that
18	one. I think you should just put a one in there.
19	There you go. Okay. Mark?
20	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Good afternoon.
21	DR. BAGNER: Good afternoon.
22	MR. TROWBRIDGE: I have the following: Edward
23	Abraham, Patricia Ares-Romero, Heather Bins,
24	Brittany Fields, Clarence Jones, Jr., Jose
25	Pagliery, and Maria Elena Ramon-Coton.

1	DR. BAGNER: And thank you, Mark.
2	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Thank you.
3	DR. BAGNER: And Ken, and then we'll go
4	MR. TROWBRIDGE: And thank you, Muriel.
5	DR. BAGNER: Yes, thanks Muriel. Ken and then
6	we'll loop back to Judge and Marissa.
7	MR. HOFFMAN: Yeah, I only wrote three down.
8	I mean, I had a few that were sort of second
9	contention, but I'll just give you three names.
10	Patricia Ares-Romerp, Clarence Jones, Jr. I'll
11	wait until you get there, and then Annette La
12	Greca.
13	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Go slow.
14	MS. LEICHTER: I can go.
15	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Enunciate. It gives Muriel
16	time.
17	DR. BAGNER: Okay, Marissa. Are you ready?
18	MS. LEICHTER: Yeah. I might have more than
19	six, but it's around that number.
20	DR. BAGNER: That's okay.
21	MS. LEICHTER: What? No, it's not 30.
22	MR. TROWBRIDGE: She has 32.
23	MS. LEICHTER: Edward Abraham, Patricia Ares-
24	Romero, Kevin Bumpers, Dannelle Fleites Esquivel.
25	I have some new ones. Dannelle Fleites Esquivel,

1	Clarence Jones, Jr., Jose Pagliery, and Justin Pin.
2	DR. BAGNER: Okay. Thank you, Marissa.
3	MS. LEICHTER: Oh, wait. Sorry, I have one
4	more. I have one more. Marisol Zenteno. How many
5	was that?
6	DR. BAGNER: Thank you, Marissa.
7	MR. HOFFMAN: If there's
8	DR. BAGNER: Oh, yes. Ken, go ahead.
9	MR. HOFFMAN: If I can interject for a minute,
10	and I know this is not this is a very
11	distinguished crew, so I'm not suggesting this as a
12	fixed process, but it doesn't look like the numbers
13	are adding up in some of the columns.
14	So, for example under Jose they're not
15	like, La Greca had three or five. Were you
16	okay. You're just not including mine. That's
17	fine.
18	MR. HAJ: Ken, I think yours is just not
19	tallying.
20	MR. HOFFMAN: That's fine.
21	DR. BAGNER: Yeah. No, we want all of them
22	I think we want all of them to tally, so if we make
23	sure. Muriel, if you go to the first one, at
24	Patricia and do what you're doing now on that first
25	one.

1	MS. JEANTY: Right, right.
2	MR. HOFFMAN: We'll do it on all of them, I
3	think.
4	DR. BAGNER: Yeah, well then you could scroll
5	down to all of them. If we first do it yes, and
6	now I would go on that cell that says eight, and
7	then pull it all the way to the end and that should
8	do it.
9	MR. HOFFMAN: Okay.
10	DR. BAGNER: Okay. Perfect. Thank you, Ken.
11	Okay. And finally, Judge.
12	JUDGE PRESCOTT: I said I didn't have seven.
13	MR. TROWBRIDGE: It's all right. Take your
14	time.
15	JUDGE PRESCOTT: I started with Dr. Abrahm,
16	Abraham, Ares-Romero, Bins, Greca, Gerstein.
17	MR. HOFFMAN: Wait. She didn't catch up.
18	DR. BAGNER: Muriel, it's La Greca that's why
19	you didn't see it.
20	JUDGE PRESCOTT: I'm sorry.
21	DR. BAGNER: That's okay. Column U.
22	JUDGE PRESCOTT: And the last I threw in
23	Miss the young lady Jessica Yates.
24	MS. JEANTY: For the
25	JUDGE PRESCOTT: Yes.

1 MS. JEANTY: -- one. 2. DR. BAGNER: Okay, great. So, just from our quick look, it looks like we have some clear 3 4 candidates who are standing out. I have to get 5 close here. Let me -- hold on one second. 6 So, it looks like Patricia Ares-Romero with 7 nine -- everyone voted for her. And then it looks 8 like we have two other candidates with five votes. 9 Heather Bins and Clarence Jones, Jr., and Jose 10 Pagliery. So, that would be four. 11 MS. LEICHTER: I feel like I heard Abraham's 12 name. 13 DR. BAGNER: What name? MS. LEICHTER: Did I hear Abraham's name a 14 15 lot? 16 DR. BAGNER: So, he had -- it looks like he 17 had four. 18 MS. LEICHTER: Okay. 19 DR. BAGNER: So, we stick to the nine -- so, one had nine and then three and five. So, that's 20 21 four candidates. If we go down to four votes 22 everyone, then that would bring us one, two -- two 23 more. Annette La Greca and Edward Abraham. 24 So, we could, you know, I'd be open certainly 25 to feedback whether or not we want to bring in the

1	one, two, three wait. We could have the top
2	three candidates, or we could expand it to include
3	those with four votes. I'm sorry, top four or top
4	six.
5	DR. NEIMAND: How many seats are we replacing?
6	DR. BAGNER: Just one seat.
7	MS. LEICHTER: How much time did we leave for
8	interviews and how long are we wanting to have each
9	interview?
10	DR. BAGNER: So, I believe we have three hours
11	allotted, two to five on the first. That's what I
12	have in my calendar. Is that what we have?
13	MS. JEANTY: Yeah.
14	DR. BAGNER: Does everyone else have that?
15	Three hours?
16	MS. LEICHTER: So, I mean, if we just want to
17	be really practical about it, we can kind of use
18	that as the determine the determining factor of
19	how many people we want to bring in or create more
20	time or whatever everyone wants to do.
21	DR. NEIMAND: I think the top four makes
22	sense.
23	DR. BAGNER: Okay. I mean, if for the two
24	that had four, Edward Abraham and Annette La Greca,
25	if folks feel strongly with either of those. I can

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

1 speak actually about Annette La Greca.

She is a psychologist. I know her somewhat well. Not very well personally, but I know her in the field. She's a very well-known clinical child psychologist. She's been at the University of Miami for, I think most of her career.

She's been the director of their clinical training program for many years as well and she's highly established and highly regarded in the field.

So, I would certainly support bringing her in if we wanted to expand the number of people we want to interview from four to six. I don't know if anyone wants to speak about --

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Speaking of clarification Mr. Chair, do they have to live or work or both in Miami-Dade County or is that not a residency requirement? I'm just asking with regard to Heather Bins.

DR. BAGNER: Yeah. I actually had the same question, but I think it depends on the position so like for my position, I don't actually -- don't live in Miami-Dade but I'm allowed because of my position is the representative of FIU.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Correct.

25

1	DR. BAGNER: I believe for this position
2	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Since at large
3	DR. BAGNER: I think at large they need to
4	live and work or at least live, I don't know about
5	work.
6	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Can we clarify that, Muriel?
7	Because it's not included on the grid, but just
8	check that for Heather Bins, her residency.
9	MR. HAJ: Mr. Chair, they must reside in
10	Miami-Dade.
11	DR. BAGNER: From my understanding Mark, I
12	think Heather lives in Miami, but works in Broward,
13	right?
14	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Okay.
15	DR. BRAGNER: Is that correct?
16	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Yeah, I'm just asking because
17	it's not clear, but it shows she works for the
18	Broward County Public Schools.
19	DR. BAGNER: Yeah. I had checked that. If
20	someone want to maybe if anyone can verify,
21	Muriel, but I think when I skimmed I had the
22	same question as you, Mark and when I was looking
23	through it, I saw her address seemed to be in
24	Miomi
21	Miami.

1	JUDGE PRESCOTT: Yeah, I think
2	MR. TROWBRIDGE: And by the way, the moving
3	truck is backing up to your house right now.
4	MR. HOPE: When I look at the schedule, I
5	think the zip code is a Miami-Dade zip code, so.
6	MR. TROWBRIDGE: I'm sure it's on the
7	application.
8	DR. BAGNER: Yeah. Does anyone want to speak
9	about Edward Abraham? Anyone who
10	MR. TROWBRIDGE: I'm familiar with him
11	slightly. He was the dean of the medical school at
12	Miami, and then he was promoted to executive vice-
13	president and CEO of U-Health before he retired.
14	He worked directly under Julio Frank.
15	And he was one of the primary architects along
16	with Steven Niger (ph) for the NCI designation for
17	the Sylvester.
18	DR. BAGNER: Great. Thanks, Mark.
19	MR. HOFFMAN: I didn't bring him up because he
20	would have been in that second category which
21	included about five or six, but certainly from the
22	experience background, he'd be something someone
23	who, you know, might have something different to
24	add.
25	And I did bring up you asked about Annette
	1

2.

La Greca. I don't know her personally. My wife works in the neuroscience department. She told me that she's just a rock's -- one of the rock stars at the university.

And in fact, when you -- I'm not used to in my field looking at 50-something page bios and -- but she is obviously well written in directly in our field, in children and families, racial and ethnic differences and the reasons why.

And I just thought, apart from what Dr.

Neimand said earlier which I do understand, we have representatives of institutions. We don't really, you know, that would be an area that I thought that she was incredibly accomplished and an interesting person if not to get involved in the Board, maybe to get involved with the Trust in some other capacity.

As a -- whether it's a consultant or just, you know, associating with the University of Miami as well on those things because again, she just has a stellar background in what we do.

DR. BAGNER: Yes, thank you, Ken for that.

Yeah, to reiterate she is really the -- a lead

expert in the field of children's mental health.

And in particular, she brings expertise to -- she's

1 done a lot of research on natural disasters. 2. So, you know, she's written a lot about how kids did after Hurricane Andrew for example, and 3 4 other related natural disasters. So, I think 5 especially as it relates to the pandemic, I think that she would bring an important voice as well. 6 7 And if I recall when we were MR. TROWBRIDGE: doing the interviews, the last round for at large, 8 we had a long discussion about the fact that Dr. 9 10 Esilene (ph) is leaving the Board with this sort of 11 medical expertise and the University of Miami 12 connections, so it's just a nice thought. 13 MS. LEICHTER: Well, that's why I was kind of 14 looking more at Dr. Abraham for medical. 15 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Yeah. 16 MS. LEICHTER: I mean, not to say that La 17 Greca isn't, but --18 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Sure. MS. LEICHTER: But she seems more like a 19 2.0 psychologist background. Not to say we shouldn't 21 bring them both in, but yes. I had the same 22 thought as you, Mark. 23 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Frightening. DR. BAGNER: Well, I'm hearing a lot of 24 25 enthusiasm. If you do the math -- I did the math

1 real quickly while folks were talking, so it would 2. be the difference between 30 minutes an interview versus 45 minutes. 3 4 Of course, it doesn't account for a little break in between each one. But I would be 5 certainly open to bring all six in for an 6 7 interview. 8 JUDGE PRESCOTT: I agree. 9 MR. TROWBRIDGE: I agree, and I think, you 10 know, the difference of the time frame is 11 inconsequential even if we run a little bit late. 12 The other thing I would say is, we can look down 13 the pipeline of the Board in general and see other 14 future openings that may be coming, and we also 15 recommend for other seats. 16 So, the more folks I think we see in an 17 interview, we become more familiar with really 18 great candidates we wouldn't normally have interaction with. 19 2.0 So, see it as an opportunity to talk with some 21 folks one on one, that then we can utilize maybe in 2.2 a future Board seat that opens. 23 DR. BAGNER: All right. Thank you, Mark. So, 2.4 I'm hearing no objections to bringing in six? 25 MR. TROWBRIDGE: No.

1	MS. LEICHTER: No.
2	MS. FERRADAZ: No objections.
3	JUDGE PRESCOTT: No objections.
4	MR. TROWBRIDGE: No.
5	MS. FERRADAZ: I just
6	DR. BAGNER: Great.
7	MS. FERRADAZ: have a question for
8	clarification. So, I don't believe there's anyone
9	on the list who has a finance background. Are we
10	okay with that?
11	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Yeah, we brought in two I
12	think within the last two years. Both Matthew and
13	I also think we brought in Javier.
14	MS. LEICHTER: Exactly.
15	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Both have very strong finance
16	backgrounds, but that's a great reminder in terms
17	of that.
18	DR. NEIMAND: What time are the interviews
19	going to be? I don't have it on my calendar, and I
20	want to make sure to have it on my calendar.
21	DR. BAGNER: Two to five p.m. on March
22	Monday, March 1st.
23	DR. NEIMAND: Okay.
24	JUDGE PRESCOTT: Two to five?
25	DR. BAGNER: And I am I correct in that we

1	could do all that remote or do we need to have
2	members of the committee at least a quorum in
3	person?
4	MS. LEICHTER: I think the county attorney was
5	supposed to look that up because
6	MR. TROWBRIDGE: But that was a different
7	requirement.
8	MS. LEICHTER: I think for the
9	MR. TROWBRIDGE: In a standing meeting.
10	MS. LEICHTER: I think for the interviewees
11	it's okay, but I'm not sure for us. I think it has
12	to be a meeting.
13	MR. HAJ: No. My understanding Mr. Chair, is
14	that it's a similar format we're doing today that
15	we're going to have the Board quorum, but the board
16	members we're interviewing, the potential board
17	members we're interviewing to do it virtually.
18	MR. TROWBRIDGE: We'll be wanting to then have
19	a consistency in terms of the interviews, so all
20	six would be on Zoom. Nobody coming in person, no
21	hybrid, just for consistency's sake.
22	MR. HAJ: If that's the will of the Board.
23	DR. BADNER: Yeah.
24	MS. LEICHTER: I think that's fair.
25	DR. BAGNER: I agree with that. Yeah, the

1 last time we did this, we had a couple call in due 2. to circumstances, and I think that didn't really level the playing field. 3 4 It was harder to get a sense for people that 5 So, I think we're if we're going to do called in. remote for somebody, I agree that we should do 6 remote for all of them. 7 MS. LEICHTER: Should we see if they all want 8 9 to come in or just kind of be like, everyone be 10 remote to begin with? 11 DR. BAGNER: I would advocate for remote for 12 everyone so we're not putting anyone in a difficult 13 situation to feel pressured one way or the other. 14 MS. LEICHTER: And it makes it easier for 15 Muriel, I'm quessing too, so I'm all in. 16 then she'd have to call everyone and then if it's 17 good, and then go back, so okay. 18 DR. BAGNER: Yeah, I think it's very easy to just bring people into the Zoom session in an 19 effort to maintain more social distance. 2.0 I think 21 it's a good thing to just have them all remote in 2.2 on Zoom. Wonderful. 23 Well, is there any other comments? Thoughts? 24 Anyone we left out of this group that anyone feels 25 extremely strongly about that we should be

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

considering from the list?

MR. TROWBRIDGE: If you don't mind, I just had one. I know that she only received three votes and that was Dr. Maria Ramon-Coton.

I think what jumped off the page for me besides the medical background, was the connection to Nicklaus. Now which we've not typically had a connection with like we had with some of the other health systems.

So, just a point of reference there, so I know Dr. Neimand I believe, voted for her along with Steve Hope. So, it's just a thought. Let me thank you for your candor.

DR. BAGNER: Thank you, for that, Mark.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Let me thank you for your, candor.

DR. BAGNER: Yeah, in truth I was really looking forward to her application for the reasons you stated. I personally, I wasn't very impressed with her responses. I felt they were very brief which led me to not select her.

But I agree that I actually also -- I have a few physicians that I work closely with in my research at Nicklaus and I tried to encourage them to apply and they just -- they're very, very busy

schedule@lawsreporting.com

1 and didn't feel like they could commit. 2. MR. TROWBRIDGE: Yeah. I have a feeling someone else filled that out unfortunately, and 3 4 that happens in these worlds. 5 I recently met with their relatively new CEO and made the pitch to Matt Love about that. It'11 6 7 be nice to see somebody, just knowing the work that we do each day, some of the comments that we've 8 heard from other members of the nominating 9 10 committee. And so, you know, maybe that's good 11 feedback to go back. We'll have other positions that open and 12 13 create maybe a little bit of stronger application. 14 MS. LEICHTER: Yeah. I also agree with that. 15 You're pulling me over, Mark. 16 JUDGE PRESCOTT: I met with Mr. Love and he 17 wants to have -- he wants Nicklaus to have a bigger 18 footprint in Miami-Dade County. He wants everybody to know that that is the children's hospital. 19 That is correct. 20 MR. TROWBRIDGE: 21 DR. NEIMAND: I had the same reaction. 22 the application that did not impress me, but the 23 other, I don't know, consideration I quess that I 24 had, we've had, doctors who are -- have been

volunteers for United Way, and historically they

2.

have no time. And we've literally had to go to

Jackson for meetings and schedule them around their
schedules.

So, I was concerned about -- you know, that's why at first, I was thinking Dr. Abraham because he's retired. But then I asked staff who worked with Patricia Ares-Romero, I mean, she's the chief medical officer at Jackson, right?

Totally committed, phenomenal volunteer. One meeting she couldn't make, she made sure she had all her -- she was reviewing applications. Made sure all her scores were in. I mean, totally dedicated.

She's also a psychiatrist and is very, very concerned about mental health issues, et cetera and so I was like, okay, this is somebody who will be there.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Agreed. And as I said, there will be other opportunities, but I just wanted to make that -- that note because of the conversation and I'm glad that Judge Prescott had that as well. Because I think -- that's great news for all of us in the community, especially a hospital that has a footprint like Nicklaus does.

MS. LEICHTER: I'm okay with passing her up

1 this time, and then like you said, maybe giving 2. them that feedback that next time there's an at large position or even a, well, gubernatorial is 3 4 different, but an at large position that someone 5 could take a little bit more time in filling out the application so we can have a little bit more 6 7 insight on the person. MR. TROWBRIDGE: I mean, that's what we have 8 9 to go on. 10 MS. LEICHTER: Exactly. 11 MR. TROWBRIDGE: So, at the end of the day --12 DR. BAGNER: Right. Yeah, and thank you. 13 JUDGE PRESCOTT: Mr. Chair, can I ask this 14 question? 15 DR. BAGNER: Of course. JUDGE PRESCOTT: As Mr. Trowbridge had 16 17 mentioned about keeping applications, keeping those 18 in mind, do we have the mechanism whereby we can 19 ask them on the form, the applicants, that you were 20 not selected this time but do you have any 21 objections to us maintaining your application for 22 the next time around, so they don't have to 23 resubmit? 24 DR. BAGNER: We do. We always send out their 25 Board a letter that says, "Thank you for your

1 interest and we will keep you in mind." But we also do -- when we did this round, we sent it to 2. people who applied last time and say, we -- you 3 4 don't have to redo it. We'll just resubmit -- update, and you can 5 resubmit so you don't have to go through the work 6 7 of filling out the application. DR. BAGNER: All right. Thank you, Judge. 8 Although in this case, we want her to -- we would 9 10 her to fill it out a little bit differently next time, but I agree -- I agree with all the 11 12 sentiments about Nicklaus children. 13 So, as I said I work closely with them in my 14 research and they not only are they a children's 15 hospital, but they also serve a large number of underserved children, particularly in their primary 16 care clinics which is where I have worked with 17 18 them. 19 So, I think it would be great to have their 20 voice on our Board at some point. Any other 21 questions, comments, thoughts? 22 MR. HOFFMAN: Yeah, I was going to say that I 23 was actually surprised at how poorly some of the 24 applications were filled out. I did notice and Dr. Ramon-Coton -- it was fine. It was good answers, 25

2.

but it was really light and again, we can talk about why that might have been her time allocation.

But I thought so many of them -- and maybe we should just look at our application process. I know it's online, but I was just really surprised that so many people submitted without a resume of some sort or any other type of information or letter that told a little bit more about themselves.

And some of the answers to the -- maybe we ought to look at the questions, but some of the answers to the stock questions were pretty, you know, stock or not really that good. And I'm not saying good from a quality point of view, just that people weren't answering the questions.

So, maybe for the future, but in particular it's not always indicative, but it's nice to, you know, see a biographical history of somebody and some of the -- our applicants didn't have anything except -- and some of them didn't even have their current information filled out correctly or completely.

So, I was really surprised at that. And again, I know we get a wide of variety and it's widely advertised, but I would have expected from

1 some of the quality people that we got, a little 2. more care and attention or supplemental materials. DR. BAGNER: Thank you, Ken. Do we know -- is 3 4 there a -- on the application if it's specified 5 they need to include some sort of resume or CV? Ιt does? Muriel's shaking her head yes. 6 7 Maybe that -- maybe we have to make sure that that's being included. I don't know if there's a 8 way on the website of ensuring every piece is 9 10 entered before it's submitted. MR. HOFFMAN: But if that's the case, then we 11 12 shouldn't have circulated 32 applications if we had 13 ten incomplete ones, so. 14 DR. BAGNER: Yeah, so maybe in the future we 15 just circulate those that are complete and ensure that up front we're getting -- we're making sure 16 that applicants know if it is indeed incomplete. 17 18 MS. LEICHTER: Mr. Chair? I have to excuse 19 myself. I know you have quorum without me. I have 20 a work obligation at four o'clock. So, I'm going 21 to leave assuming we're interviewing six people on 22 March 1st from two to five. 23 Can someone -- I'm sure I'll learn if that's 24 different, but that's -- I got to go. I was trying 25 to wait to do the vote, but I know you have quorum,

1 so I'm -- thank you, everybody and I will see you 2. on the first. Thank you, Marissa for coming. 3 DR. BAGNER: 4 MS. LEICHTER: Well, sorry. I'm like triple 5 booked today and I came just in case there was no 6 quorum. 7 Thank you for coming. DR. BAGNER: MS. LEICHTER: And now I'm going to do my 8 training in the Children's Trust office. 9 10 DR. BAGNER: So, I think the only other thing 11 that I wanted to bring up was just to go over our 12 interview questions. I believe there's a -- is 13 there a tab for those? Yes, great. 14 So, these were the interview questions that we 15 had last time around that we came up with. So, any 16 feedback on whether or not we want to just keep 17 what we have? If we want to edit them or change 18 them in any way? MR. TROWBRIDGE: 19 I think we generally have time and we usually ask for all five and then 2.0 21 there's some follow up. But I would say if you're going to prioritize them, I think question number 22 23 three is probably question number two just in terms 24 of, you know, major issues. That was the one that 25 was a little bit of a stumper for folks if they

1 hadn't done their homework. So, I would like to --2. DR. BAGNER: You mean number two? 3 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Well, question two says 4 "major issues handling," instead of asking them 5 what they know about us first because I think a lot of folks get a little squeamish about, you know, 6 7 anything critical. And I think the ones we picked were the ones 8 9 that, you know, were pretty straight forward with 10 that, so. I don't think they're prioritized. 11 just think they're -- and we usually get to all of 12 them, so. 13 DR. BAGNER: Right. I agree actually. I felt 14 like that was -- I mean, it did highlight if they 15 did their research or not, or how much they know about us, but I do see the benefit of seeing moving 16 17 number three to number two and switching those 18 around. Other folks agree with that change? MR. HOFFMAN: 19 Yeah. 20 MR. TROWBRIDGE: And I want to go back to 21 Mary's comment because I think now that we're 22 actually going to be talking to a couple of 23 physicians and she made the comment about time 24 which I think is quite relevant. Maybe we ask or 25 question about time and commitment because --

1	MS. DONWORTH: Well, I thought we had in the
2	past asked about the question.
3	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Yeah, it's just not there.
4	So, I think it's a good
5	MS. DONWORTH: You know, maybe if it's not a -
6	-
7	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Because it's not just all
8	board meeting. We require you to serve on at least
9	one committee and some of us, you know, we have
10	working in Ad Hoc Committees and seasonal
11	committees so, just time
12	DR. BAGNER: I wonder if number four seems a
13	bit could be somewhat redundant to number one,
14	right, because I think if they have served on a
15	Board maybe we could use that as an example, number
16	one or try to integrate that into number. And then
17	number four and then we could add one on time
18	commitment.
19	MR. TROWBRIDGE: I think that's great.
20	DR. BAGNER: You folks agree with that?
21	MR. TROWBRIDGE: And you know if David
22	Lawrence was doing these interviews, he'd want to
23	know what book you were reading.
24	DR. BAGNER: That's right.
25	MR. TROWBRIDGE: I'm sure he did that back in

1	the day, right?
2	MR. HOFFMAN: That's the first question.
3	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Number one. Be prepared.
4	DR. BAGNER: So, maybe we could say for number
5	one, what unique qualities or abilities would you
6	bring to the Trust such as experience serving on a
7	Board or volunteering in an agency?
8	Do you folks agree with that? Because I think
9	sometimes we've got the four and then they say,
10	well as I said already and they refer back
11	MR. TROWBRIDGE: No. I figured that, but I
12	would still make it a second question.
13	DR. BAGNER: You would still make it a
14	separate question.
15	MR. TROWBRIDGE: I'd put them together.
16	DR. BAGNER: Okay.
17	MS. DONWORTH: Yeah, it would make it seem
18	that's the only
19	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Shorten the number four but
20	put it in number one. Have you served or
21	DR. BAGNER: Okay.
22	MR. TROWBRIDGE: you have any experience.
23	I mean, we're a very different Board in the sense
24	that most people don't serve on public boards, and
25	so there's not just the time commitment to serve on

25

1 the board. 2. It's a much more strict conflict of interest 3 policy. You have to take trainings. You have to 4 work in the sunshine. A lot of people serve on a 5 Board like mine in the chamber. I've worked with, like, an organization like Steve runs, things like 6 7 that, so. MR. HOFFMAN: Are we trying to scare them off? 8 9 MR. TROWBRIDGE: No, but they should know. 10 MR. HOFFMAN: Sure. 11 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Right? 12 MR. HOFFMAN: Absolutely. 13 MR. HAJ: Well Mr. Chair, another question we 14 need to add, and we can either put it on this list 15 or we can ask in advance that they're not able to 16 serve on two county boards. 17 So, they got to know in advance that they're 18 unable to serve on two county boards. So, we can 19 screen them ahead of time, or we can add it. 20 MR. TROWBRIDGE: I would have Muriel ask that 21 that way we don't lose --22 MR. HOFFMAN: Yeah, that should probably had 23 been on our application, "Are you on another county board?" But we should find --24

DR. BAGNER: Yeah, that's an easy question to

23

24

25

1 ask. 2. MR. TROWBRIDGE: And Jim, is it any county board or is it -- because there are advisory boards 3 4 and then there are -- can we clarify that because 5 sometimes you serve -- like, I was on the parks advisory board years ago. Would that create that 6 7 conflict that we were just an advisory board? DR. BAGNER: We'll check with the attorneys. 8 9 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Yes. I'm sure they will 10 clarify it. 11 DR. BAGNER: Okay, great. And Muriel, yeah, 12 if you could ask that question maybe when you 13 schedule their interviews just to clarify if they 14 serve on any other county boards. 15 So, I'm hearing we'll move number four to 16 number two and try to reduce it a bit. And Mark 17 was your suggestion to talk about commitments, 18 integrating that into that question or having a 19 fifth question about a time commitment? 20 MR. TROWBRIDGE: I think a separate question. 21 It gives it some standing. 2.2 DR. BAGNER: So, would we want that --

Laws Reporting, Inc. 305.358.2700 schedule@lawsreporting.com www.lawsreporting.com

meetings. I mean, I just think it's very important

September you've also got two required TRIM

Think about, like, September.

MR. TROWBRIDGE:

1 to have that commitment upfront because all of us 2. serve on the board proper and then we've done now, it's our second nominating committee meeting for a 3 4 single at large seat and there's interviews to 5 come. And I think Mary's absolutely right, you know. 6 7 A lot of the busiest people end up applying for these opportunities. And so, you know, I don't 8 9 think it's a disqualifier, but it should be at 10 least on the record. 11 DR. BAGNER: Sure. 12 MR. TROWBRIDGE: And I'll do my best not to 13 scare anybody, Ken. 14 DR. BAGNER: Okay. So, Mary, I don't know if 15 you're -- are you on that form there? 16 MS. DONWORTH: Yes. 17 DR. BAGNER: Okay. So, we'll move number four 18 to two and then we'll add for -- right before five 19 we'll add another question. Do we want to ask a 2.0 question of "Are you aware of the time commitment" 21 or do we want to just make it a statement, "Here's the time commitment for a role on the Trust?" 22 23 I mean, sometimes in truth, Mark, I think that 24 -- those questions came up when we asked them what 25 questions you have for us. I think sometimes

1 candidates ask, "What would the time commitment be? 2. What kind of commitment would it involve?" 3 MS. DONWORTH: I mean, you can also ask "Given 4 your busy schedule, how have you been able to 5 consistently make time for the commitments that you've made to other organizations" and just see 6 7 how they respond to that. DR. BAGNER: Or we could say exactly what you 8 9 said Mary, and just say given your busy schedule 10 how would you prioritize time, the large amount of 11 time that would be a part of being a member of this 12 Board; something like that? JUDGE PRESCOTT: If I may. If they're 13 14 informed of, you know, what they'll need to do 15 aside from the monthly meetings, the committees -because I know when I first started on this, I was 16 17 on a committee that I never was able to meet. 18 placed me in a committee, and it took place when I 19 was in court all the time, you know? 20 So, now that I'm on this one, I can juggle 21 this better but the other one was earlier in the 22 day that I couldn't take off. 23 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Yeah. 24 JUDGE PRESCOTT: So, if they knew, you know, 25 what kind of requirements, you know, you'll be on a

1	committee and you have to participate in that
2	committee and things of that nature.
3	DR. BAGNER: Right. So, we could say that
4	MS. JEANTY: that too.
5	DR. BAGNER: Yeah, we could say that the, you
6	know, the board meeting is, obviously is a
7	requirement, the Thursday at four o'clock. I'm
8	sorry, the Monday's usually they're on Monday's
9	right, at four o'clock?
10	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Uh-huh.
11	DR. BAGNER: It would be a requirement and
12	then there are other committees that meet at
13	different on different days.
14	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Uh-huh.
15	DR. BAGNER: Great. Well, any other
16	questions, comments, thoughts about the interview
17	process?
18	MR. HAJ: Mr. Chair, I just have one more
19	comment. Since Mr. Hope will be leaving us and he
20	was the treasurer and the finance chair, the
21	treasurer position needs to be advertised to the
22	Board to apply.
23	So, we have the letter to go out by the end of
24	the week, and we would hope to bring it back by
25	March 1st if all the board members were interested

1 in the finance committee chair/treasure position. 2. DR. BAGNER: Okay, great. So, do we need to 3 hold the vote now on approving that or would that 4 wait until the March 1st meeting? 5 MR. HAJ: No. I'll send out the memo. We'll gain interest, see who's interested and get it to 6 7 the nominating committee before the meeting. And then if it's just a smaller list we can take action 8 at the meeting in March. 9 10 DR. BAGNER: Great. MR. HAJ: March 1st. 11 12 DR. BAGNER: Perfect. So, maybe to make room 13 for that and make room for some breaks, Muriel, 14 maybe when we schedule these interviews, we could 15 do them, like, for 20 minutes each. And then that'll give a little time in between each one and 16 17 then also some time at the end to then vote on or 18 at least discuss candidates for the finance chair 19 position. 2.0 MR. HOFFMAN: Jim, just a point of 21 clarification. Is Steve with us through the next 22 board meeting or we just say goodbye at the next 23 board meeting? MR. HAJ: Steve is with us -- his last board 24 25 meeting will be April.

1	MR. HOFFMAN: Okay, good.
2	MR. HAJ: We'll confirm the deadlines. I
3	thought it was yeah.
4	DR. BAGNER: Okay, great. Anything any
5	other comments? Okay. Well, with that can I have
6	a motion to adjourn?
7	MR. TROWBRIDGE: So, moved.
8	MS. DONWORTH: Second.
9	DR. BAGNER: Okay, wonderful. Well, thank you
10	all for your time. Thank you to those of you who
11	came in person to the meeting, and I look forward
12	to seeing you all on the first.
13	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Do you extraneously object?
14	DR. NEIMAND: Thank you all for a very well-
15	organized systematic meeting that accomplished a
16	great deal.
17	DR. BAGNER: Thank you.
18	MR. HOFFMAN: All right.
19	DR. BAGNER: All stay safe everyone. Thank
20	you. Nice to see you all.
21	MS. DONWORTH: Okay.
22	MR. HOFFMAN: Thanks.
23	MS. DONWORTH: Bye, everybody.
24	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Bye. Bye, Ken.
25	MR. HOFFMAN: Bye. Bye, Mark.

```
MR. TROWBRIDGE: Take care, everybody.
 1
 2
               (Whereupon, at 3:53 p.m., the meeting was
         adjourned.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

The above and foregoing transcript is a true and correct typed record of the contents of the file, which was digitally recorded in the proceeding identified at the beginning of the transcript, to the best of my ability, knowledge, and belief.

Signed this 11th day of March 2021.

Sunde Takish

Brenda Saliba, Transcriptionist